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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report was prepared to document the economic contributions of the fruit and vegetable juice products industry in the United States (U.S.), including farm production, processing/manufacturing, and retail distribution. Contributions were estimated for 2017, the most recent year of information available on all three industry segments.

The total value of farm production of fruits and vegetables for processing in 2017 was $\$ 2.51$ billion, including values in excess of $\$ 100$ million for orange, strawberry, apple, cranberry, and peach. Excluding the fruits that are primarily used for jams or jellies or dried product (strawberry, peach, and apricot), the total value was $\$ 1.90$ billion. Geographically, the largest states for fruit and vegetable production for processing were Florida (\$1.02 billion), California (\$665 million), Washington (\$269 million), Wisconsin (\$149 million), Michigan (\$78 million), New York (\$75 million), Massachusetts (\$55 million), and Oregon (\$52 million).

Processing/manufacturing of fruit and vegetable juices, blended juices, and smoothies in 2018 was conducted by 483 business establishments, with an estimated employment of 14,865 persons, and gross revenues of $\$ 11.46$ billion. Domestic demand for all juice products in 2018 was $\$ 12.78$ billion, based on revenues plus imports less exports.

Retail sales of fruit and vegetable juices and juice drink products in the U.S. in 2017 were $\$ 9.86$ billion. Major product categories are shelf-stable or canned juices and refrigerated juices. Sales of shelf-stable juices in 2015 (most recent year available) included cranberry ( $\$ 1.00$ billion), apple ( $\$ 914$ million), tomato/vegetable (\$433 million), lemonade (\$306 million), grape ( $\$ 280$ million), and orange ( $\$ 274$ million). Refrigerated juice retail sales in 2015 included orange ( $\$ 3.24$ billion), juice smoothies ( $\$ 898$ million), lemonade ( $\$ 633$ million), blended juices ( $\$ 360$ million), and vegetable juices ( $\$ 122$ million). Imports of juices to the U.S. grew from $\$ 1.59$ billion in 2010 to $\$ 2.36$ billion in 2018 ( $49 \%$ increase), including significant increases for orange ( $+91 \%$ ), other single fruits (+65\%), lemon (+93\%), and other single vegetables (+206\%). U.S. exports of juices declined from $\$ 1.14$ billion to $\$ 930$ million ( $-18 \%$ ), including large decreases for orange ( $-32 \%$ ), single vegetables ( $-17 \%$ ), fruit mixtures ( $-55 \%$ ), grape ( $-18 \%$ ), and grapefruit ( $-17 \%$ ), but increased for apple juice
(+74\%), vegetable mixtures (+46\%), and other citrus (+64\%). Exports of juices to major world regions were largest to North America (\$520 million) and Asia (\$237 million), followed by South/Central America (\$95 million), Europe (\$70 million), Australia/Oceana ( $\$ 6$ million) and Africa ( $\$ 1.4$ million).

Estimates of the economic contributions of the fruit and vegetable juice industry in the U.S. were developed to communicate the broad scope of economic activities supported by the fruit and vegetable juice industry in the U.S. in support of informed public policy and advocacy. The economic contributions of the U.S. fruit and vegetable juice industry were analyzed using the IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ regional economic modeling system (IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ Group, LLC) that enables estimation of the overall economic activity supported by an industry, including indirect multiplier effects of inter-industry or supply chain purchases, and induced multiplier effects of employee household income spending.

Economic contributions of the U.S. fruit and vegetable juice industry in 2017 are summarized in Table ES1. For all industry activities, the total economic contributions were 224,587 fulltime and part-time jobs, $\$ 12.28$ billion in labor income, $\$ 20.08$ billion in value added (Gross Domestic Product), and $\$ 45.54$ billion in industry output or business revenues. Juice manufacturing accounted for contributions of 115,708 jobs and $\$ 33.88$ billion in industry output; fruit and vegetable production for juice processing accounted for 46,650 jobs and $\$ 4.80$ billion in industry output; retail sales of juice products accounted for 62,229 jobs and $\$ 6.87$ billion in industry output. The industry also contributed $\$ 1.73$ billion in state and local taxes, and $\$ 2.73$ billion in federal government taxes.

Results were allocated to states and regions based on the share of direct employment or sales in the different sectors. The largest ten states in terms of overall employment contributions for all activities were Florida ( 35,751 jobs), California ( 31,250 jobs), Washington (17,956 jobs), Wisconsin (11,755 jobs), New York (10,608 jobs), Oregon (10,380 jobs), Michigan ( 9,562 jobs), Pennsylvania ( 9,224 jobs), Texas (7,268 jobs), and Ohio ( 6,743 jobs) (Figure ES1). Fourteen states had overall output contributions of at least one billion dollars, including New Jersey, Idaho, Minnesota, and Illinois in addition to those listed above.

Table ES1. Summary of economic contributions of the U.S. fruit and vegetable juice products industry, 2017

| Activity | Employment <br> (Jobs) | Labor Income <br> (Million \$) | Value Added <br> (Million \$) | Output <br> (Million \$) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice processing/ <br> manufacturing | 115,708 | 7,882 | 13,167 | 33,878 |
| Fruit and vegetable <br> production for juice <br> processing | 46,650 | 1,789 | 2,775 | 4,798 |
| Retail distribution | 62,229 | 2,611 | 4,140 | 6,865 |
| Total All Activities | $\mathbf{2 2 4 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 5 4 1}$ |

Values in 2017 dollars. Employment is fulltime and part-time jobs. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\odot}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017, modified for economic contribution analysis.

Thousand Fulltime \& Part-time Jobs


Figure ES1. Employment contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. states, 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017, modified for economic contribution analysis.

## INTRODUCTION

Americans typically consume less fruits and vegetables than is recommended under current dietary guidelines, and increased consumption of fruits and vegetables is identified as a priority for public nutrition in the United States (U.S.) by professional dieticians and government agencies (USDOH/ USDA-ODPHP). Juices are a convenient, concentrated form for consuming fruits and vegetables, particularly for children and busy adults. Packaged juice products enable greater assurance of nutritional content compared to raw fruits and vegetables, help to control servings in standard sized containers, and have a longer shelf life than fresh fruits and vegetables, leading to lower food waste. Increased consumption of healthy fruit and vegetable juices might help alleviate epidemic obesity, diabetes, and other serious diet-related health concerns in the U.S, and ultimately reduce public healthcare costs.

The U.S. fruit and vegetable juice industry plays an important role in the U.S. agribusiness sector. The industry supply chain includes fruit and vegetable producers, juice processors/manufacturers, and retail food and beverage stores. Farm production of fruits and vegetables utilized for juice processing is found in 20 states spanning the entire continental U.S. Juice manufacturers process raw fruits and vegetables into juice or source imported juices, blend various juice types, package and ship the product to wholesale and retail distributors, and institutional consumers, or to intermediate manufacturers using juice as an ingredient in other food products. Retail food and beverage stores stock
juice products for sale to final consumers. The industry supply chain requires close coordination among actors to maintain quality assurance and control and traceability, in particular for refrigerated products to preserve freshness.

The purpose of this report is to describe the U.S. fruit and vegetable juice industry and estimate its contributions to the U.S. economy. The study encompasses all types of fruit and vegetable juices produced and consumed in the U.S. or exported to other countries. The data used for the analysis were compiled from sources published by the U.S. Department of Agriculture-National Agricultural Statistics Service (USDA-NASS), the U.S. Department of Agriculture-Foreign Agricultural Service (USDA-FAS), U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Marketing Service (USDA-AMS), U.S. Department of Commerce-Bureau of Economic Analysis (USDOC-BEA), U.S. Department of LaborBureau of Labor Statistics (USDOL-BLS), and various private market research organizations such as IbisWorld and Statista. The report provides a brief overview of the juice market and the most important juice flavors in terms of volume or value, followed by a description of the methods used to estimate economic contributions, then the empirical results for the nation, disaggregated by state and region, and finally a discussion of the results. The results of this study can be used to communicate the broad scope of economic activities supported by the fruit and vegetable juice industry in the U.S. in support of informed public policy and advocacy.


## FRUITS AND VEGETABLES UTILIZED FOR PROCESSING

The most important juice flavors as measured by market volume are shown in Table 1. The total volume of fruits and vegetables produced for processing in the U.S. in 2017 was 6.85 million tons, including 3.35 million tons of oranges and 1.67 million tons of apples (Table 2). The total value of production for processing was $\$ 2.51$ billion, including orange, strawberry, apple, cranberry, and peach that had values in excess of $\$ 100$ million. Strawberry, peach, and apricot are primarily used for jams or jellies or dried product, and excluding these commodities, gives a value of $\$ 1.90$ billion utilized for processing.

Geographically, the states with the largest share of fruit and vegetable production for processing in terms of value were Florida ( $\$ 1.02$ billion), California ( $\$ 665$ million), Washington ( $\$ 269$ million), Wisconsin ( $\$ 149$ million), Michigan ( $\$ 78$ million), New York ( $\$ 75$ million), Massachusetts ( $\$ 55$ million), and Oregon ( $\$ 52$ million), as shown in Table 3. Exclusion of strawberry, peach, and apricot, however, reduces the value of California production for juice processing to $\$ 93$ million.

The U.S. imports large volumes of orange and apple juices, and smaller volumes of grape, grapefruit, pineapple, mango, lime, lemon, and pear juices.

Table 1. Top flavors of fruit and vegetable juices in the U.S.

| Flavor | Domestically produced | Imported |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Orange | Yes | Yes |
| Apple | Yes | Yes |
| Grape | Yes | Yes |
| Cranberry | Yes |  |
| Tomato | Yes |  |
| Carrot | Yes |  |
| Grapefruit | Yes | Yes |
| Pineapple |  | Yes |
| Mango |  | Yes |
| Lime |  | Yes |
| Lemon | Yes | Yes |
| Pear | Yes | Yes |

Table 2. Fruit production value and quantity for processing in the U.S., 2017

| Commodity/ <br> Flavor | Value (million \$) | Quantity (tons) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Orange | 972.0 | $3,349,665$ |
| Strawberry* | 426.7 | 557,444 |
| Apple | 415.0 | $1,673,900$ |
| Cranberry | 232.1 | 676,900 |
| Peach* | 174.7 | 399,423 |
| Pear (excl. dried) | 94.1 | 379,620 |
| Cherry | 54.8 | 245,200 |
| Grapefruit | 43.5 | 84,100 |
| Grape, juice type | 41.8 | 302,728 |
| Kiwifruit | 34.9 | 190,000 |
| Apricot* | 13.5 | 33,200 |
| Tangerine | 7.5 | 23,200 |
| Nectarine | 0.8 | 397,385 |
| Lemon | NA | 5,600 |
| Total value | $\mathbf{2 , 5 1 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 0 7 5}$ |

*Strawberry, peach, and apricot are primarily used for jams/jellies or dried product. Source: USDA-NASS.


Table 3. Fruit production value for processing by U.S. state, 2017

| State | Value (million \$) | Percent of U.S. total | Value excl. strawberry, peach, apricot (million \$) | Percent of U.S. total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida | 1,023.0 | 40.7\% | 1,023.0 | 53.9\% |
| California | 664.8 | 26.5\% | 93.1 | 4.9\% |
| Washington | 269.0 | 10.7\% | 269.0 | 14.2\% |
| Wisconsin | 149.1 | 5.9\% | 149.1 | 7.9\% |
| Michigan | 78.3 | 3.1\% | 78.3 | 4.1\% |
| New York | 75.2 | 3.0\% | 75.2 | 4.0\% |
| Massachusetts | 55.1 | 2.2\% | 55.1 | 2.9\% |
| Oregon | 52.1 | 2.1\% | 37.4 | 2.0\% |
| Pennsylvania | 32.3 | 1.3\% | 32.3 | 1.7\% |
| Virginia | 18.8 | 0.7\% | 18.8 | 1.0\% |
| New Jersey | 18.0 | 0.7\% | 18.0 | 0.9\% |
| West Virginia | 8.1 | 0.3\% | 8.1 | 0.4\% |
| North Carolina | 7.0 | 0.3\% | 6.6 | 0.4\% |
| Maryland | 3.3 | 0.1\% | 3.3 | 0.2\% |
| Idaho | 1.9 | 0.1\% | 1.9 | 0.1\% |
| Maine | 1.1 | <0.1\% | 1.1 | 0.1\% |
| Vermont | 0.8 | <0.1\% | 0.8 | <0.1\% |
| Ohio | 0.8 | <0.1\% | 0.8 | <0.1\% |
| Minnesota | 0.5 | <0.1\% | 0.5 | <0.1\% |
| Connecticut | 0.3 | <0.1\% | 0.3 | <0.1\% |
| Other states | 52.0 | 2.1\% | 23.9 | 1.3\% |
| Total | 2,511.4 |  | 1,896.5 |  |

Source: USDA-NASS.


## PROFILES OF INDIVIDUAL FRUITS AND VEGETABLES UTILIZED FOR JUICE

Orange. Orange juice tops the list in terms of production, consumption, and sales, outranking all other fruit and vegetable juices. The value of orange juice sales at retail, both 100 percent and less than 100 percent orange content, was $\$ 9.266$ billion in 2019 (Statista). Orange production, both for fresh and processed consumption, is the highest among all fruits with total world production estimated at 54.3 million metric tons in the 2018-19 season (USDA-FAS). Although this figure represents an increase from the previous season, world orange production has been on a downward trend due to a variety of factors such as disease, climate, disaster events, urbanization, and declining demand. World orange juice production remains at around three billion single-strength-equivalent (SSE) gallons in 2017-18 (USDA-FAS).

In the U.S., commercial orange production is confined to the states of Florida, California, Texas, and Arizona, in order of importance. Historically, Florida has dominated the production of oranges utilized for juice production, while the other states have focused on fresh market production, with eliminations being sent for processing. Florida is also the major receiving point for imported orange juice from Latin America, and many of the packaging facilities are in Florida, although a large share of private label packaging is

performed closer to major retail outlets in the northeast and western states.

Over the past 15 years, Florida orange production has declined from more than 200 million 90-pound boxes to around 70 million boxes in the 2018-19 season. A number of factors have caused this decline, but most notable is the infestation of Huanglongbing (HLB) also known as "citrus greening" disease, which was first discovered in Florida in 2005. Four major hurricanes in 2005 and 2006 and another hurricane in 2017 served to spread the disease throughout the entire commercial production area. There is some evidence that tactics adopted by growers might be showing success in combating the adverse effects of the disease, but the future of commercial orange production in Florida remains unclear. HLB disease is also endemic in Brazil and has recently appeared in California. Utilization of oranges for processing in Florida, California, and Texas in the 2016-17 and 2017-18 seasons is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Oranges utilized for processing by state, 2016-17 and 2017-18 seasons

| Season | Florida | California | Texas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -----1000 Boxes - ------ - |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 66,047 | 8,220 | 190 |
| 2017-18 | 42,188 | 7,600 | 390 |

Source: USDA-NASS, Florida Office
The major import suppliers of orange juice to the U.S. are Brazil, Mexico, and Costa Rica. Production in Mexico and Costa Rica benefit from duty free access to the U.S., which imposes a tariff on both frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) and single-strength juice, known as not-from-concentrate or NFC, imported from other countries, notably Brazil (Table 5).

Table 5. Orange juice imports to the U.S., 2017-18

| Country/Region | Volume <br> (million SSE gals) | Value <br> (million $\mathbf{\$})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 282.14 | 378.07 |
| Mexico | 151.35 | 307.24 |
| Caribbean Basin <br> Initiative (Costa <br> Rica, Belize) | 22.74 | 42.30 |
| Other | 2.54 | 6.81 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce
Apple. Apples are grown commercially in 32 states, including the top 10 states of Washington, New York, Michigan, Pennsylvania, California, Virginia, North Carolina, Oregon, Ohio, and Idaho (U.S. Apple Association). The USDA

estimates total acres under cultivation at 323,000 in 2017. Two-thirds (67\%) of U.S. production is consumed fresh and one-third (33\%) is used for processing into apple juice, apple sauce, and apple slices (U.S. Apple Association). There are over 200 varieties of apples grown in the U.S. with more than 100 commercially available in retail channels. The most important varieties include Red and Golden Delicious, Gala, Fuji, Granny Smith, and Honeycrisp. U.S. apple production was 240 million bushels in 2017. Apples sent to processing for juice totaled 350,314 tons ( 700.6 million pounds, National Apple Processing Report, USDA-AMS). Total U.S. apple juice production is estimated at 111.4 million SSE gallons in 2017. U.S. imports of apple juice were 500 million SSE gallons in 2017 (U.S. Apple Association). Total U.S. consumption of apple juice was estimated at 489 million SSE gallons and $\$ 5.6$ billion of revenue at retail in 2018 (Statista). Supply of apples for processing by state/region is shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Apples utilized for processing in major U.S. states or regions, 2017

| Region/State | Tons |
| :--- | ---: |
| Appalachian: Virginia, West Virginia, | 31,198 |
| Maryland, Pennsylvania | 22,926 |
| California | 296,190 |

Source: USDA-AMS
Grape. In 2017 there were over one million acres of grape vineyards producing for processed utilization (USDA-NASS). The state of Washington is the largest producer of grapes utilized for grape juice. Other producing states include Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, and Michigan.

Concord and Niagara are the two primary varieties used for juice production, as their thin skin makes them less desirable for fresh utilization. Other table grape varieties such as Thompson and Fiesta are also processed into juice. The main states that supply grapes for juice production are shown in Table 7. The volume of grapes utilized for juice production in 2017 was 451,900 tons, or 1.58 million gallons of grape juice concentrate at 68 degrees Brix. U.S. imports of grape juice were approximately 32.4 million SSE gallons in 2017.


Table 7. Grapes utilized for juice production by state, 2017

| State | Weight <br> (tons) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Michigan | 54,600 |
| New York | 128,000 |
| Pennsylvania | 77,300 |
| Washington | 190,000 |
| Other states | 2,000 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 5 1 , 9 0 0}$ |

Information is for Concord and Niagara grape varieties; does not include smaller quantities of white grapes. Source: USDA-NASS.

Cranberry. Cranberries are grown in the northeastern U.S., the Pacific northwest, and Wisconsin. Cranberry acreage, production, and processed utilization for the main producing states are shown in Table 8. Wisconsin has become the major supplier of both cranberries and cranberry juice in the U.S., accounting for over 65 percent of U.S. processed cranberry supply. The nearly eight million barrels ( 800 million pounds) of cranberries processed into juice yielded approximately 37.44 million SSE gallons of domestic cranberry juice production in 2017. The U.S. Department of Commerce reports that 4.24 million SSE gallons of cranberry juice was imported in 2017 while exports were 14.7 million SSE gallons in that same year.


Table 8. Cranberry acreage, production, and utilization by state, 2017

| State | Acreage | Production <br> (barrels) | Processed <br> (barrels) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wisconsin | 20,600 | $5,372,000$ | $5,211,000$ |
| Massachusetts | 12,300 | $1,911,400$ | $1,762,500$ |
| Oregon | 2,800 | 489,700 | 475,500 |
| New Jersey | 2,500 | 451,200 | 444,900 |
| Washington | 1,500 | 147,650 | 94,550 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 7 1 , 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 8 8 , 4 5 0}$ |

Source: USDA-NASS
Pear. Pear production area in the U.S. was 46,400 acres in 2017, with 20,000 acres allocated to Bartlett pears. Washington, Oregon, and California produce most of the pears grown in the U.S., with limited production in New York, Pennsylvania, and Michigan (Table 9). Processed pear utilization would include both pear juice and canned pears. Imports of pear juice in 2017 were 122.5 million SSE gallons.

Table 9. Pear acreage, production, and processed utilization by state, 2017

| State | Acreage | Production <br> (tons) | Processed <br> (tons) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 11,200 | 195,000 | 127,000 |
| Oregon | 14,400 | 226,050 | 32,300 |
| Washington | 20,800 | 316,400 | 85,900 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 5 , 2 0 0}$ |

Source: USDA-NASS. Totals include a small amount from other states.
Tomato. World tomato juice consumption was 5.7 million metric tons in 2017, equivalent to 1,021 million SSE gallons (Branthôme). The U.S. ranked second behind China in total consumption with 12 percent of the world market or 123 million SSE gallons. Processed tomato production in the U.S. in the major producing states is shown in Table 10. California is the dominant producing state accounting for over 90 percent of total production. The U.S. is a major exporter of tomato juice and does not appear to be an importer of tomato paste so the U.S. tomato juice supply is likely from domestic sources. Processed tomato production, however, does not provide an accurate estimate of tomato juice production as the main process for processing tomatoes is to produce tomato sauce or paste, which can be reconstituted into several products including tomato juice. Therefore, the value of processed tomato production was not considered in the economic analysis of farm-level activity.


Table 10. Processed tomato production by state, 2017

| State | Weight (tons) |
| :--- | ---: |
| California | $10,404,054$ |
| Indiana | 222,631 |
| Michigan | 133.056 |
| Ohio | 159,921 |
| Other states | 86,192 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 0 5 , 8 5 4}$ |

Source: USDA-NASS
Grapefruit. Florida has historically been the largest supplier of grapefruit for both the U.S. and the world. In recent years, the combined effects of HLB and hurricanes have conspired to dramatically reduce Florida grapefruit production. In the 2016-17 season, Florida production of grapefruit was 7.76 million 85-pound boxes, which was significantly down from 40.90 million boxes in 2003-04. In 2016-17, white seedless grapefruit production was 1.48

million boxes while red seedless production was 6.28 million boxes. Grapefruit supply for processing by U.S. state in the 2016-17 season is shown in Table 11. At that time, Florida was still the largest supplier of grapefruit in the U.S., however, because Florida production has continued to decline Texas surpassed Florida as the largest supplier in the 2018-19 season. The decline in Florida grapefruit, especially white seedless and seedy varieties, has had a profound impact on Florida grapefruit juice production, especially frozen concentrated grapefruit juice (FCGJ). As such, the U.S. has become an importer of grapefruit juice, importing 21.4 million SSE gallons in 2017, however, it remains a net exporter of grapefruit juice.

Table 11. Processed grapefruit supply by state, 2016-17 season

| State | Volume (1000 Boxes) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Florida | 4,223 |
| Texas | 2,200 |
| California | 900 |

Source: USDA-NASS, Florida Field Office
Lemon. In the U.S., California and Arizona are the two main supply regions for lemons. In the 2016-17 season California utilized 4.1 million boxes for processed lemon production and Arizona supplied 435,000 boxes to processed utilization (USDA-NASS, Florida Field Office). Assuming a juice yield of four gallons per box, approximately 4.5 million boxes of processed lemons would have produced 18 million SSE gallons of lemon juice. In 2017, U.S. imports of lemon juice were 191.7 million gallons (U.S. Department of Commerce).

Lime. With the demise of the Florida lime industry in the early 2000s as a result of an outbreak of citrus canker, there is little domestic production of limes. The major varieties are Mexican or Key limes and Persian limes, known in the U.S. as Tahiti limes. Mexico has become the primary supplier of both fresh limes and lime juice to the U.S., along with small volumes from Guatemala and Belize. The other large producer of limes in the world is Brazil, though their production is mainly sold in their domestic market. U.S. imports of lime juice were 58.3 million SSE gallons in 2017.


Pineapple. A number of factors have influenced the U.S. pineapple juice market. First, pineapple production in Hawaii has declined by $2 / 3$ in the past decade in response to high labor costs and competition from imports. Therefore, nearly all of the U.S. pineapple supply is consumed fresh, while canned products and juice are imported. World pineapple production reached 25 million metric tons (MMT) in 2014. The leading producing countries were Costa Rica (2.9 MMT), Brazil (2.6 MMT), and the Philippines (2.5 MMT) (BrandonGaile). Other countries with more than one MMT of production include Thailand, Indonesia, India, Nigeria, and China. Approximately 70 percent of world consumption is fresh with the remaining 30 percent processed. The processed figure includes both canned products and pineapple juice. U.S. pineapple juice consumption has been declining as fresh consumption has been increasing. Pineapple juice imports to the U.S. were 249.2 million SSE gallons (U.S. Department of Commerce) in 2017 making it the third largest imported juice following apple and orange.

Mango. Mangoes are a tropical fruit. Its production in the U.S. is limited to southern Florida. With an increasing Hispanic population, there has been growing demand for both fresh and processed mangoes in the U.S. in recent years. Mango juice is often blended with other juices to produce an exotic flavored product. Mango juice imports into the U.S. were 13 million SSE gallons in 2017.

Carrot. Data provided by USDA-NASS on U.S. carrot production is incomplete. California is by far the largest supplier of carrots for the fresh market, and Wisconsin and Michigan also have significant production. The U.S. carrot industry has been affected by the rise in the demand for "baby carrots" that have been milled to produce a smaller product. The shavings produced from carrot milling are pressed to produce carrot juice. Statistics are not available to provide an estimate of carrot juice consumption.


## JUICE MANUFACTURING

Statistics on fruit and vegetable juice processing or manufacturing activity in the U.S. historically since 2010 and forecast to 2024 by IbisWorld are presented in Table 12. This information encompasses all fruit and vegetable juices, blended juices, and smoothies. In 2018 there were 483 establishments in the industry, with estimated employment of 14,865 persons, who received $\$ 877$ million in wages (averaging $\$ 58,964$ per employee), with gross revenues of $\$ 11.46$ billion, and $\$ 2.42$ billion in value added (revenues less cost of industry purchases). Domestic demand for all juice products in 2018 was estimated at $\$ 12.78$ billion, based on revenues ( $\$ 11.46$ billion) plus imports of $\$ 2.13$ billion,
less exports of $\$ 815$ million. Revenues peaked at around $\$ 15.25$ billion in 2012 in the post-recession era, then steadily declined by about 25 percent to 2018, and are forecast to further decline an additional 10 percent in 2024 (not adjusted for inflation). Declining revenues for natural juice products are due to growing competition with the myriad of other drink products on the market, such as sports and energy drinks that are promoted to younger age groups. Like most manufacturing sectors globally, there has been significant consolidation in juice manufacturing, with the number of business establishments decreasing from 645 in 2012 to under 500 in 2018.

Table 12. Juice manufacturing industry data for the U.S., 2010-24

| Year | Establishments | Employment <br> (fulltime, <br> part-time <br> jobs) | Revenue <br> (M\$) | Value <br> Added (M\$) | Wages Paid <br> (M\$) | Exports <br> (MS) | Imports <br> (M\$) | Domestic <br> Demand <br> (MS) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 546 | 16,024 | $13,080.6$ | $2,978.8$ | 863.2 | $1,140.9$ | $1,605.6$ | $13,545.3$ |
| 2011 | 534 | 16,434 | $13,175.0$ | $2,958.6$ | 843.7 | $1,286.4$ | $2,162.8$ | $14,051.4$ |
| 2012 | 645 | 18,327 | $15,252.6$ | $3,247.9$ | 934.2 | $1,225.7$ | $2,017.4$ | $16,044.3$ |
| 2013 | 559 | 16,688 | $13,652.1$ | $2,910.3$ | 891.2 | $1,208.2$ | $1,997.1$ | $14,441.0$ |
| 2014 | 505 | 14,559 | $12,850.6$ | $2,764.3$ | 799.1 | $1,110.0$ | $2,009.2$ | $13,749.8$ |
| 2015 | 457 | 14,152 | $12,184.5$ | $2,791.8$ | 814.7 | $1,006.6$ | $1,893.9$ | $13,071.8$ |
| 2016 | 484 | 15,085 | $11,717.5$ | $3,075.9$ | 890.9 | 918.9 | $1,866.9$ | $12,665.5$ |
| 2017 | 485 | 14,996 | $11,578.9$ | $2,501.5$ | 884.5 | 857.8 | $1,996.7$ | $12,717.8$ |
| 2018 | 483 | 14,865 | $11,457.0$ | $2,424.3$ | 876.5 | 814.7 | $2,134.8$ | $12,777.1$ |
| 2019 | 482 | 14,736 | $11,340.9$ | $2,383.8$ | 868.6 | 795.1 | $2,276.1$ | $12,821.9$ |
| 2020 | 484 | 14,692 | $11,240.3$ | $2,342.2$ | 865.0 | 753.4 | $2,360.0$ | $12,846.9$ |
| 2021 | 483 | 14,599 | $11,144.2$ | $2,307.2$ | 859.2 | 715.4 | $2,410.1$ | $12,838.9$ |
| 2022 | 482 | 14,510 | $11,068.7$ | $2,267.8$ | 853.8 | 681.9 | $2,462.8$ | $12,849.6$ |
| 2023 | 480 | 14,395 | $10,953.3$ | $2,225.7$ | 846.6 | 648.0 | $2,564.3$ | $12,869.6$ |
| 2024 | 476 | 14,275 | $10,853.8$ | $2,186.8$ | 839.4 | 618.0 | $2,693.0$ | $12,928.8$ |

Values are in millions of dollars, not adjusted for inflation. Source: IbisWorld industry report 31211c, Juice production in the U.S., Dec. 2018.


## RETAIL JUICE MARKET

Retail sales of all fruit and vegetable juices and juice drink products in the U.S. are a measure of consumer demand. Gross retail sales have remained stable over the period 2012 to 2017 at around $\$ 9.7$ to $\$ 9.9$ billion (Table 13). Sales in the latest year available (2017) were $\$ 9.86$ billion, representing a total volume of 713 million cases at an average price of $\$ 13.83$ per case. Retail sales of specialty shelf-stable or canned juices in the U.S. in 2016 were $\$ 7.27$ billion (Table 14). Retail sales of shelf-stable juice categories in 2014 were highest for cranberry juice ( $\$ 1.00$ billion), apple juice ( $\$ 914$ million), tomato/vegetable juice (\$433 million), lemonade juice ( $\$ 306$ million), grape juice ( $\$ 280$ million), and orange juice ( $\$ 274$ million), as shown in Figure 1. Retail sales of leading refrigerated juices in 2015 (latest available) totaled $\$ 5.67$ billion, including orange juice ( $\$ 3.24$ billion, $57.1 \%$ ), juice smoothies ( $\$ 898$ million, $15.8 \%$ ), lemonade juice ( $\$ 633$ million, $11.2 \%$ ), blended juices ( $\$ 360$ million, $6.6 \%$ ), and vegetable juices ( $\$ 122$ million, $2.2 \%$ ), as shown in Table 15. If the shelf-stable and refrigerated juice retail sales figures are combined, it would suggest a total juice drink market value of around $\$ 12.94$ billion, however, these figures cannot be completely reconciled because they represent different product categories and years.

Per capita consumption of all juices in the U.S. declined from 7.6 gallons per person in 2011 to 5.8 gallons per person in 2017, representing a decline of 23.7 percent (Figure 2 ).

Table 13. Gross retail sales, average price, and volume of juices and juice drinks in the U.S., 2012-17

| Year | Gross sales <br> $\mathbf{( M \$ )}$ | Average price <br> (\$ per case) | Volume <br> (M 192-oz <br> cases) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | $9,922.4$ | 10.40 | 678.7 |
| 2013 | $9,832.4$ | 10.35 | 705.1 |
| 2014 | $9,871.7$ | 10.43 | 710.0 |
| 2015 | $9,660.6$ | 13.09 | 738.2 |
| 2016 | $9,954.3$ | 13.43 | 741.0 |
| 2017 | $9,864.7$ | 13.83 | 713.3 |

Source: Statista. Beverage Digest Fact book, 23rd Edition, page 86, 2018

Table 14. Retail sales of specialty shelf-stable juices in the U.S., 2014 and 2016

| Year | Sales (Mill. \$) |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2014 | 7,277 |
| 2016 | 7,268 |

Source: Statista. Specialty Food Association, The State of the Specialty Food Industry, March 2017, page 7.

Table 15. Retail sales of leading refrigerated juice and juice drink categories in the U.S., 2015

| Category | Sales (M\$) | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange juice | 3,238.7 | 57.1\% |
| Juice and juice drink smoothies | 898.3 | 15.8\% |
| Lemonade juice | 632.7 | 11.2\% |
| Blended juice | 360.1 | 6.4\% |
| Vegetable juice | 122.1 | 2.2\% |
| Cider | 81.3 | 1.4\% |
| Grapefruit juice | 80.6 | 1.4\% |
| Apple juice | 63.7 | 1.1\% |
| Cranberry juice | 58.0 | 1.0\% |
| Fruit nectar | 13.5 | 0.2\% |
| Pineapple juice | 11.2 | 0.2\% |
| Grape juice | 5.2 | 0.1\% |
| Lemon/lime juice | 4.2 | 0.1\% |
| Other fruit juices | 98.1 | 1.7\% |
| Total | 5,667.7 |  |

Source: Statista. Beverage World, 2016; includes multi-outlet supermarkets, drugstores, mass market retailers, gas/c-stores, military commissaries, and select club \& dollar retail chains.

Retail Sales in Million U.S. Dollars


Figure 1. Retail sales of leading shelf-stable juice and juice drink categories in the U.S., 2014. Source: Statista


Figure 2. Per capita consumption of juices in the U.S., 2010-17. Source: Statista


## U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRADE OF JUICE PRODUCTS

International trade of fruit and vegetable juices is an important part of the U.S. juice industry, as previously noted. Trade data for the U.S. during the period 2010 to 2018 are charted in Figures 3-8. Total import value increased from $\$ 1.59$ billion in 2010 to $\$ 2.36$ billion in 2018, representing a 49.0 percent increase (Figure 3). Growth in imports was especially strong in 2011 and 2017-18. Meanwhile, exports of juice products steadily declined from $\$ 1.14$ billion to $\$ 930$ million during the same period, a decrease of 18.3 percent. In terms of trade volumes of juice products, imports increased from 4.15 to 4.93 billion liters, a 19.1 percent increase, and exports declined from 1.11 billion to 671 million liters, a 39.7 percent decrease (Figure 4).

Among the largest import categories, values increased significantly for orange juice (+90.8\%), other single fruits (+65.0\%), lemon juice (+93.1\%), and other single vegetables (+205.9\%), but were minimal for apple juice (+9.7\%) and were
actually down for grape juice (-21.6\%), as shown in Figure 5. Export values declined significantly for orange juice (-31.8\%), single vegetable juice (-17.3\%), fruit juice mixtures (-54.5\%), grape juice ( $-18.3 \%$ ), and grapefruit juice ( $-16.9 \%$ ), but increased for apple juice ( $+73.8 \%$ ), vegetable juice mixtures $(+45.8 \%)$, and other citrus juices (+64.4\%) (Figure 6).

Concomitant with the increase in imports of many juices, exports of juices in 2018 included a significant share of foreign-produced juice for re-export for orange juice (36.5\%), apple juice (15.1\%), cranberry juice (15.3\%), pineapple juice (28.4\%), and lime juice (21.8\%) (Figure 7).

Exports of juices in 2018 to major world regions were largest to North America (\$520 million) and Asia (\$237 million), followed by South/Central America ( $\$ 95$ million), Europe ( $\$ 70$ million), Australia/Oceana ( $\$ 6$ million), and Africa (\$1.4 million) (Figure 8).


Figure 3. U.S. import and export values of fruit and vegetable juices, 2010-18.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau



Figure 4. U.S. import and export volumes of fruit and vegetable juices, 2010-18.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau


Figure 5. U.S. import values of fruit and vegetable juices by type, 2010-18. Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau


Figure 6. U.S. export values of fruit and vegetable juices by type, 2010-18.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau


Figure 7. U.S. export values of domestically-produced and re-exported fruit and vegetable juice flavors, 2018.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau


Figure 8. U.S. export values of fruit and vegetable juice types by major world region, 2018.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau

## METHODOLOGY FOR ECONOMIC <br> CONTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

Economic contributions of an industry or other economic activity are measured by metrics such as employment (fulltime and part-time jobs), labor income (wages, salaries, benefits, business owner income), total value added, industry output (sales revenues), and state-local and federal taxes paid. Value added is a broad measure of personal income and business net income that represents the difference between total output or revenues and the value of inter-industry purchases, and is equivalent to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at the national level or Gross State Product (GSP) at the state level. A glossary of definitions of the basic terms used in this report is provided in Appendix $A$.

This economic contribution analysis for the U.S. juice products industry was conducted using the IMPLAN ${ }^{\oplus}$ regional economic modeling system (IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ Group, LLC). This type of economic modeling system is known as Input-Output analysis with Social Accounting Matrices (Miller and Blair, 2009). Regional economic models enable the estimation of economic multipliers that measure total activity in an economy supported by a given value of direct output or employment, including direct, indirect, and induced effects. Direct effects represent the initial amount of activity in the industry in question, indirect effects represent inter-industry or supply chain purchases supported by direct activity, and induced effects reflect local spending resulting from income changes in employee and proprietor households. Total economic contributions are the sum of all three types of multiplier effects. Regional economic models can be constructed with IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ for individual counties, groups of contiguous counties, states, or multi-state regions, but in this case, the study area was defined as the entire U.S. Data from IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ used to model contributions in this report
represented the economic structure of the U.S. economy in 2017. Information used in the model includes industry output, employment, income, trade, and estimates transactions between industries. The model was constructed with the IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ tradeflows specification and social accounts for households internalized (Type II multipliers). In keeping with best practice, the model was customized for multi-industry economic contribution analysis for the industry sectors of interest using the method described by Cheney (2017). Results of this analysis are deemed economic "contributions" that represent ongoing economic activity, as opposed to economic "impacts" that represent new activity due to a change in final demand, such as when a completely new business or industry moves into a region (Watson et al, 2007).

Inputs to the IMPLAN ${ }^{\oplus}$ model for economic contribution analysis of the U.S. juice products industry are summarized in Table 16. The analysis captured economic activity for juice manufacturing, fruit and vegetable farming for raw materials, and retail distribution of juice products to consumers through food and beverage stores. Each principal business type was mapped to the corresponding IMPLAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ industry sector. Gross sales for each industry in 2017 were entered into the model, as well as direct employment in juice manufacturing. The year 2017 was chosen as the benchmark period for analysis because data were available for all three industry segments. Industry sales included $\$ 11.58$ billion for juice processing/ manufacturing, $\$ 1.90$ billion for fruit and vegetable farming, and $\$ 9.86$ billion for retail food and beverage stores. These values were taken from sources presented in the introduction. For juice manufacturing, the inputs were split between sector 79-Frozen fruits, juices and vegetables and sector 81-Canned fruits and vegetables, based upon the share of retail sales for

Table 16. Inputs to the IMPLAN® model for economic contribution analysis of the U.S. juice products industry

| Activity | IMPLAN ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Sector | Industry Sales (\$) | Direct <br> Employment (fulltime and part-time jobs) | Employee Compensation (\$) | Proprietor Income (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice processing/ manufacturing | 79-Frozen fruits, juices and vegetables manufacturing | \$5,073,226,152 | 6,570 | \$669,325,284 | \$17,607,114 |
|  | 81-Canned fruits and vegetables manufacturing | \$6,505,673,848 | 8,352 | \$819,112,617 | \$40,514,755 |
| Fruit and vegetable production | 4-Fruit farming | \$1,896,518,000 | 26,671 | \$448,382,568 | \$300,731,578 |
| Retail distribution | 400-Retail food and beverage stores | \$9,864,700,000 | 38,254 | \$1,140,392,193 | \$147,076,013 |
| Total |  | \$23,340,118,000 | 79,847 | \$3,077,212,662 | \$505,929,461 |
| Retail sales margined (27.9\%) |  | \$2,752,251,300 |  |  |  |

refrigerated product (43.8\%, \$5.07 billion) and shelf-stable product ( $56.2 \%$, $\$ 6.51$ billion), respectively. The IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ software imputed direct employment in fruit farming and retail food stores and values for employee compensation (wages, benefits) and proprietor income (business owner income) for each sector based on industry averages per employee. Totals for all sectors within the fruit and vegetable juice industry were sales revenues of $\$ 23.34$ billion, direct employment of 79,847 (fulltime and part-time jobs), \$3.08 billion in employee compensation, and $\$ 506$ million in proprietor income. The software applied an average retail margin of 27.9 percent to the gross retail sales to give a retail industry direct output value of $\$ 2.75$ billion that is applicable to the multiplier effects. This adjustment is necessary to net out the value of purchases from manufacturers, equivalent to cost of goods sold, to avoid double-counting of economic contributions at the producer and retailer levels. Margining was not applicable for juice manufacturers and fruit and vegetable farms because sales are given in producer price terms.

Information on direct industry employment at the state level was used to allocate national economic contribution
results to states for the manufacturing and retail sectors. State-level employment for manufacturing and retail stores were compiled from the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (USDOC-BLS) for industry sectors defined according to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), as shown in Table 17. Missing values for some states due to nondisclosure rules for small numbers of firms were imputed based on the number of establishments. Note that these employment figures represent the entire fruit and vegetable manufacturing sectors, not just juice manufacturing, however, juice manufacturing was assumed to be proportional to the total employment. State-level information on farmgate sales of fruit for processing (excluding fruits not used for juice) were used to allocate economic contribution results for fruit and vegetable production, as shown in Table 3. Results for individual states were aggregated to eight broad U.S. regions: Appalachian, Great Plains, Midwest, Mountain, Northeast, Pacific, Southcentral, and Southeast (see map of regions in Figure 14 in the results section).

Table 17. State-level direct employment in U.S. fruit and vegetable manufacturing and retail food and beverage stores, 2017
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrccc|cc}\hline \text { U.S. State } & \begin{array}{c}\text { NAICS 311411 } \\
\text { Frozen fruit and } \\
\text { vegetable } \\
\text { manufacturing }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { NAICS 311421 } \\
\text { Fruit and } \\
\text { vegetable canning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Fruit } \\
\text { and vegetable } \\
\text { manufacturing }\end{array} & \text { Percent } & \begin{array}{c}\text { NAICS 445 } \\
\text { Food and } \\
\text { beverage stores }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Percent\end{array}\right]\)| Alabama |
| :--- |
| Alaska |

Table 17 Continued. State-level direct employment in U.S. fruit and vegetable manufacturing and retail food and beverage stores, 2017

| U.S. State | NAICS 311411 Frozen fruit and vegetable manufacturing | NAICS 311421 Fruit and vegetable canning | Total Fruit and vegetable manufacturing | Percent | NAICS 445 <br> Food and beverage stores | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota | 830 | 2,410 | 3,240 | 3.4\% | 56,524 | 1.8\% |
| Mississippi | 0 | 111 | 111 | 0.1\% | 19,178 | 0.6\% |
| Missouri | 231 | 446 | 677 | 0.7\% | 49,624 | 1.6\% |
| Montana | 0 | 37 | 37 | 0.0\% | 10,957 | 0.4\% |
| Nebraska | 115 | 221 | 337 | 0.4\% | 21,090 | 0.7\% |
| Nevada | 231 | 198 | 429 | 0.5\% | 23,662 | 0.8\% |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 332 | 332 | 0.3\% | 22,055 | 0.7\% |
| New Jersey | 777 | 1,384 | 2,161 | 2.3\% | 112,963 | 3.6\% |
| New Mexico | 115 | 388 | 503 | 0.5\% | 14,768 | 0.5\% |
| New York | 692 | 2,893 | 3,585 | 3.8\% | 220,346 | 7.1\% |
| North Carolina | 461 | 1,618 | 2,079 | 2.2\% | 92,132 | 3.0\% |
| North Dakota | 346 | 55 | 401 | 0.4\% | 7,297 | 0.2\% |
| Ohio | 231 | 3,595 | 3,826 | 4.0\% | 103,869 | 3.3\% |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 221 | 221 | 0.2\% | 22,917 | 0.7\% |
| Oregon | 5,411 | 1,662 | 7,073 | 7.4\% | 43,399 | 1.4\% |
| Pennsylvania | 275 | 4,307 | 4,582 | 4.8\% | 143,211 | 4.6\% |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0.0\% | 11,878 | 0.4\% |
| South Carolina | 346 | 498 | 844 | 0.9\% | 45,552 | 1.5\% |
| South Dakota |  |  |  |  | 9,495 |  |
| Tennessee | 346 | 1,173 | 1,519 | 1.6\% | 54,443 | 1.7\% |
| Texas | 427 | 1,616 | 2,043 | 2.1\% | 239,471 | 7.7\% |
| Utah | 0 | 96 | 96 | 0.1\% | 25,686 | 0.8\% |
| Vermont | 0 | 127 | 127 | 0.1\% | 9,915 | 0.3\% |
| Virginia | 346 | 741 | 1,087 | 1.1\% | 81,830 | 2.6\% |
| Washington | 6,045 | 2,184 | 8,229 | 8.6\% | 67,154 | 2.2\% |
| West Virginia | 0 | 388 | 388 | 0.4\% | 12,436 | 0.4\% |
| Wisconsin | 1,420 | 4,309 | 5,729 | 6.0\% | 56,497 | 1.8\% |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |  | 4,905 | 0.2\% |
| Total | 33,216 | 62,030 | 95,246 |  | 3,113,458 |  |

Source: USDOC-BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages. Employment represents fulltime and part-time jobs.

## NATIONAL ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION RESULTS

Economic contributions of the U.S. fruit and vegetable juice products industry in 2017 are summarized in Table 18 and Figures 9-11. For all industry activities, the estimated total economic contributions were 224,587 fulltime and part-time jobs, $\$ 12.28$ billion in labor income, $\$ 20.08$ billion in value added (contributions to GDP), and $\$ 45.54$ billion in industry output or business revenues, including all direct, indirect, and induced regional multiplier effects. Juice manufacturing accounted for total contributions of 115,708 jobs, $\$ 7.88$ billion
in labor income, $\$ 13.17$ billion in value added, and $\$ 33.88$ billion in industry output. Fruit and vegetable production for juice processing accounted for total contributions of 46,650 jobs, $\$ 1.79$ billion in labor income, $\$ 2.78$ billion in value added, and $\$ 4.80$ billion in industry output. Retail sales of juice products accounted for total contributions of 62,229 jobs, $\$ 2.61$ billion in labor income, $\$ 4.14$ billion in value added, and $\$ 6.87$ billion in industry output. As a share of total employment contributions, multiplier effects were evenly
distributed, with direct effects representing 35.6 percent, indirect multiplier effects of supply chain activity representing 31.2 percent, and induced multiplier effects for employee household spending representing 33.2 percent, as displayed in Figure 9. The output, value added, and labor income contributions are charted by activity in Figure 10, and output contributions are charted by multiplier effect in Figure 11.

Economic contributions of the U.S. juice products industry are summarized by broad NAICS industry groups in Table 19. The largest employment contributions occurred in the retail trade sector (49,044 jobs), agriculture-forestry-fisheries (38,520 jobs), and manufacturing (29,104 jobs), which all had significant direct employment related to the fruit and vegetable juice industry. In addition, other major sectors with significant indirect and induced employment contributions
included health and social services (12,968 jobs), wholesale trade (12,320 jobs), transportation and warehousing (11,474 jobs), professional-scientific-technical services ( 10,710 jobs), and administrative and waste services ( 10,558 jobs). The largest value added contributions occurred in manufacturing ( $\$ 4.56$ billion), retail trade ( $\$ 2.42$ billion), wholesale trade ( $\$ 2.04$ billion), real estate/rentals ( $\$ 1.77$ billion), agriculture ( $\$ 1.59$ billion), and finance/insurance ( $\$ 1.19$ billion). The largest output contributions occurred in manufacturing ( $\$ 20.07$ billion), retail trade ( $\$ 3.76$ billion), wholesale trade ( $\$ 2.98$ billion), agriculture ( $\$ 2.90$ billion), real estate/rentals ( $\$ 2.56$ billion), and finance/insurance ( $\$ 2.15$ billion). This pattern of results reflects the relative labor intensity and business profitability across industry groups.

Table 18. Summary of economic contributions of the juice products industry in the U.S., 2017

| Activity | Impact type (multiplier) | Employment (jobs) | Labor income ( M \$) | Value added (M \$) | Output (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice processing/manufacturing | Direct | 14,922 | \$1,547 | \$2,146 | \$11,579 |
|  | Indirect | 52,872 | \$3,800 | \$6,554 | \$14,377 |
|  | Induced | 47,914 | \$2,535 | \$4,466 | \$7,922 |
|  | Total | 115,708 | \$7,882 | \$13,167 | \$33,878 |
| Fruit \& vegetable production for juice processing | Direct | 26,671 | \$749 | \$1,096 | \$1,897 |
|  | Indirect | 9,174 | \$469 | \$672 | \$1,118 |
|  | Induced | 10,806 | \$571 | \$1,006 | \$1,784 |
|  | Total | 46,650 | \$1,789 | \$2,775 | \$4,798 |
| Retail distribution | Direct | 38,254 | \$1,287 | \$1,794 | \$2,752 |
|  | Indirect | 8,119 | \$485 | \$868 | \$1,491 |
|  | Induced | 15,856 | \$839 | \$1,478 | \$2,621 |
|  | Total | 62,229 | \$2,611 | \$4,140 | \$6,865 |
| Total All Activities | Direct | 79,846 | \$3,583 | \$5,036 | \$16,228 |
|  | Indirect | 70,165 | \$4,754 | \$8,095 | \$16,986 |
|  | Induced | 74,576 | \$3,945 | \$6,951 | \$12,328 |
|  | Total | 224,587 | \$12,282 | \$20,081 | \$45,541 |

Values in 2017 dollars. Employment represents fulltime and part-time jobs. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017, modified for economic contribution analysis.


Figure 9. Total employment contributions of the juice products industry in the U.S., 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.


Figure 10. Output, value added, and labor income contributions of the juice products industry in the U.S., 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.


Figure 11. Output contributions by multiplier effect of the juice products industry in the U.S., 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.


Table 19. Total economic contributions of the juice products industry in the U.S. by NAICS industry, 2017

| NAICS Industry | Employment (jobs) | Labor Income (M \$) | Value Added (M \$) | Output <br> (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 Agriculture, forestry, fishing \& hunting | 38,520 | \$1,164 | \$1,592 | \$2,910 |
| 21 Mining | 878 | \$85 | \$215 | \$299 |
| 22 Utilities | 1,010 | \$157 | \$437 | \$1,013 |
| 23 Construction | 2,006 | \$128 | \$166 | \$332 |
| 31-33 Manufacturing | 29,104 | \$2,653 | \$4,564 | \$20,068 |
| 42 Wholesale trade | 12,320 | \$1,052 | \$2,042 | \$2,984 |
| 44-45 Retail trade | 49,044 | \$1,674 | \$2,423 | \$3,757 |
| 48-49 Transportation \& warehousing | 11,474 | \$749 | \$947 | \$1,778 |
| 51 Information | 2,261 | \$298 | \$577 | \$1,163 |
| 52 Finance \& insurance | 7,893 | \$649 | \$1,194 | \$2,152 |
| 53 Real estate \& rental | 6,777 | \$229 | \$1,773 | \$2,560 |
| 54 Professional, scientific \& tech. services | 10,710 | \$852 | \$978 | \$1,431 |
| 55 Management of companies | 3,437 | \$436 | \$512 | \$851 |
| 56 Administrative \& waste services | 10,558 | \$431 | \$540 | \$834 |
| 61 Educational services | 2,733 | \$114 | \$121 | \$178 |
| 62 Health \& social services | 12,968 | \$793 | \$864 | \$1,373 |
| 71 Arts, entertainment \& recreation | 3,182 | \$98 | \$149 | \$254 |
| 72 Accommodation \& food services | 10,357 | \$272 | \$423 | \$720 |
| 81 Other services | 8,500 | \$371 | \$438 | \$630 |
| 92 Government | 856 | \$74 | \$127 | \$252 |
| Total | 224,587 | \$12,282 | \$20,081 | \$45,541 |

Estimates include direct, indirect, and induced multiplier effects. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.

Contributions of the juice products industry to state-local and federal government tax revenues are summarized in Table 20. Total state and local taxes generated were $\$ 1.73$ billion, including sales tax on production and imports ( $\$ 646$ million), property tax on production and imports (\$587 million), and state personal income tax (\$240 million). Taxes generated
for the federal government totaled $\$ 2.73$ billion, including personal income tax (\$999 million), Social Insurance or Social Security employee contributions (\$701 million) and employer contributions ( $\$ 597$ million), corporate profits tax ( $\$ 285$ million), and excise tax (\$102 million).

Table 20. Government tax contributions of the juice products industry in the U.S., 2017

| Tax Type | Million Dollars |
| :---: | :---: |
| State \& Local Taxes |  |
| Dividends | 5.95 |
| Social Ins Tax- Employee Contribution | 6.91 |
| Social Ins Tax- Employer Contribution | 13.83 |
| TOPI: Sales Tax | 646.38 |
| TOPI: Property Tax | 586.70 |
| TOPI: Motor Vehicle Licenses | 12.46 |
| TOPI: Severance Tax | 9.65 |
| TOPI: Other Taxes | 74.28 |
| TOPI: S/L Non-Taxes | 10.68 |
| Corporate Profits Tax | 53.07 |
| Personal Tax: Income Tax | 239.53 |
| Personal Tax: Non-Taxes (Fines- Fees) | 49.53 |
| Personal Tax: Motor Vehicle License | 12.22 |
| Personal Tax: Property Taxes | 5.71 |
| Personal Tax: Other Tax (Fish/Hunt) | 3.44 |
| Total State and Local Taxes | 1,730.35 |
| Federal Taxes |  |
| Social Ins Tax- Employee Contribution | 700.84 |
| Social Ins Tax- Employer Contribution | 596.95 |
| TOPI: Excise Taxes | 102.44 |
| TOPI: Custom Duty | 42.48 |
| TOPI: Fed Non-Taxes | 6.65 |
| Corporate Profits Tax | 285.39 |
| Personal Tax: Income Tax | 999.35 |
| Total Federal Taxes | 2,734.09 |

Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.

## STATE AND REGIONAL ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION RESULTS

As noted above, the national economic contribution results for the juice products industry were allocated to states and regions based on information on direct employment or sales. Economic contribution results for states are summarized in Table 21 and Figures 12-13. The largest ten states in terms of overall employment contributions for all activities were Florida ( 35,751 jobs), California ( 31,250 jobs), Washington ( 17,956 jobs), Wisconsin ( 11,755 jobs), New York ( 10,608 jobs), Oregon (10,380 jobs), Michigan (9,562 jobs), Pennsylvania ( 9,224 jobs), Texas ( 7,268 jobs), and Ohio ( 6,743 jobs) (Figure 12). Fourteen states had overall output contributions of at least one billion dollars, including New Jersey, Idaho, Minnesota, and Illinois in addition to those listed above (Figure 13), while thirteen states had value added contributions of at least $\$ 500$ million.

For juice manufacturing, the top ten states in terms of total employment contributions were California ( 21,769 jobs), Washington, ( 9,997 jobs), Oregon ( 8,593 jobs), Wisconsin ( 6,960 jobs), Florida ( 6,115 jobs), Michigan (6,026 jobs), Pennsylvania ( 5,566 jobs), Idaho ( 4,935 jobs), Ohio (4,648 jobs), and New York ( 4,355 jobs) (Figure 12). Eleven states had juice manufacturing output contributions of at least one billion dollars (Figure 13). The top five states in terms of total employment contributions for retail sales of juice products corresponded to population and overall food demand: California (7,192 jobs), Texas (4,786 jobs), Florida (4,471 jobs), New York ( 4,404 jobs), and Pennsylvania ( 2,862 jobs). The top five states for total employment contributions of fruit and vegetable production for juice processing were

Table 21. Total economic contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. states, 2017

| State | Employment <br> (fulltime and part-time jobs) |  |  |  | Output (Million \$) |  |  |  | Value Added (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. |  |
| Alabama | 431 | 648 |  | 1,079 | 126 | 72 |  | 198 | 49 | 43 |  | 92 |
| Alaska |  | 117 |  | 117 |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Arizona | 415 | 1,198 |  | 1,613 | 122 | 132 |  | 254 | 47 | 80 |  | 127 |
| Arkansas | 751 | 399 |  | 1,150 | 220 | 44 |  | 264 | 85 | 27 |  | 112 |
| California | 21,769 | 7,192 | 2,290 | 31,250 | 6,374 | 793 | 236 | 7,402 | 2,477 | 478 | 136 | 3,092 |
| Colorado | 157 | 1,064 |  | 1,221 | 46 | 117 |  | 163 | 18 | 71 |  | 89 |
| Connecticut | 407 | 878 | 8 | 1,293 | 119 | 97 | 1 | 217 | 46 | 58 | 0 | 105 |
| Delaware | 150 | 198 |  | 348 | 44 | 22 |  | 66 | 17 | 13 |  | 30 |
| D.C. |  | 319 |  | 319 |  | 35 |  | 35 |  | 21 |  | 21 |
| Florida | 6,115 | 4,471 | 25,164 | 35,751 | 1,791 | 493 | 2,588 | 4,872 | 696 | 297 | 1,497 | 2,490 |
| Georgia | 2,029 | 1,855 |  | 3,884 | 594 | 205 |  | 799 | 231 | 123 |  | 354 |
| Hawaii | 349 | 316 |  | 665 | 102 | 35 |  | 137 | 40 | 21 |  | 61 |
| Idaho | 4,935 | 283 | 46 | 5,264 | 1,445 | 31 | 5 | 1,481 | 562 | 19 | 3 | 583 |
| Illinois | 2,568 | 2,425 |  | 4,994 | 752 | 268 |  | 1,019 | 292 | 161 |  | 454 |
| Indiana | 1,934 | 938 |  | 2,873 | 566 | 103 |  | 670 | 220 | 62 |  | 283 |
| Iowa | 602 | 835 |  | 1,436 | 176 | 92 |  | 268 | 68 | 56 |  | 124 |
| Kansas | 487 | 575 |  | 1,061 | 143 | 63 |  | 206 | 55 | 38 |  | 94 |
| Kentucky | 965 | 716 |  | 1,680 | 282 | 79 |  | 361 | 110 | 48 |  | 157 |
| Louisiana | 673 | 776 |  | 1,449 | 197 | 86 |  | 283 | 77 | 52 |  | 128 |
| Maine | 1,799 | 374 | 28 | 2,201 | 527 | 41 | 3 | 571 | 205 | 25 | 2 | 231 |
| Maryland | 1,300 | 1,361 | 81 | 2,742 | 381 | 150 | 8 | 539 | 148 | 91 | 5 | 243 |
| Massachusetts | 1,491 | 2,035 | 1,355 | 4,881 | 437 | 224 | 139 | 800 | 170 | 135 | 81 | 386 |
| Michigan | 6,026 | 1,612 | 1,925 | 9,562 | 1,764 | 178 | 198 | 2,140 | 686 | 107 | 114 | 907 |
| Minnesota | 3,936 | 1,130 | 11 | 5,077 | 1,152 | 125 | 1 | 1,278 | 448 | 75 | 1 | 524 |
| Mississippi | 135 | 383 |  | 518 | 39 | 42 |  | 82 | 15 | 26 |  | 41 |
| Missouri | 822 | 992 |  | 1,814 | 241 | 109 |  | 350 | 94 | 66 |  | 160 |
| Montana | 45 | 219 |  | 264 | 13 | 24 |  | 37 | 5 | 15 |  | 20 |
| Nebraska | 409 | 422 |  | 831 | 120 | 46 |  | 166 | 47 | 28 |  | 75 |
| Nevada | 521 | 473 |  | 994 | 152 | 52 |  | 205 | 59 | 31 |  | 91 |
| New Hampshire | 404 | 441 |  | 844 | 118 | 49 |  | 167 | 46 | 29 |  | 75 |
| New Jersey | 2,626 | 2,258 | 443 | 5,326 | 769 | 249 | 46 | 1,063 | 299 | 150 | 26 | 475 |
| New Mexico | 611 | 295 |  | 906 | 179 | 33 |  | 211 | 70 | 20 |  | 89 |
| New York | 4,355 | 4,404 | 1,849 | 10,608 | 1,275 | 486 | 190 | 1,951 | 496 | 293 | 110 | 899 |

Table 21 Continued. Total economic contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. states, 2017.

| State | Employment <br> (fulltime and part-time jobs) |  |  |  | Output (Million \$) |  |  |  | Value Added (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors |
| North Carolina | 2,526 | 1,841 | 164 | 4,531 | 740 | 203 | 17 | 960 | 287 | 123 | 10 | 420 |
| North Dakota | 488 | 146 |  | 633 | 143 | 16 |  | 159 | 55 | 10 |  | 65 |
| Ohio | 4,648 | 2,076 | 19 | 6,743 | 1,361 | 229 | 2 | 1,592 | 529 | 138 | 1 | 668 |
| Oklahoma | 269 | 458 |  | 727 | 79 | 51 |  | 129 | 31 | 30 |  | 61 |
| Oregon | 8,593 | 867 | 920 | 10,380 | 2,516 | 96 | 95 | 2,706 | 978 | 58 | 55 | 1,090 |
| Other States |  |  | 587 | 587 |  |  | 60 | 60 |  |  | 35 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | 5,566 | 2,862 | 795 | 9,224 | 1,630 | 316 | 82 | 2,027 | 633 | 190 | 47 | 871 |
| Rhode Island | 32 | 237 |  | 269 | 9 | 26 |  | 35 | 4 | 16 |  | 19 |
| South Carolina | 1,026 | 910 |  | 1,936 | 300 | 100 |  | 401 | 117 | 61 |  | 177 |
| South Dakota |  | 190 |  | 190 |  | 21 |  | 21 |  | 13 |  | 13 |
| Tennessee | 1,845 | 1,088 |  | 2,933 | 540 | 120 |  | 660 | 210 | 72 |  | 282 |
| Texas | 2,482 | 4,786 |  | 7,268 | 727 | 528 |  | 1,255 | 282 | 318 |  | 601 |
| Utah | 117 | 513 |  | 630 | 34 | 57 |  | 91 | 13 | 34 |  | 47 |
| Vermont | 154 | 198 | 20 | 372 | 45 | 22 | 2 | 69 | 18 | 13 | 1 | 32 |
| Virginia | 1,320 | 1,636 | 462 | 3,418 | 387 | 180 | 48 | 615 | 150 | 109 | 28 | 287 |
| Washington | 9,997 | 1,342 | 6,617 | 17,956 | 2,927 | 148 | 681 | 3,756 | 1,138 | 89 | 394 | 1,620 |
| West Virginia | 471 | 249 | 199 | 918 | 138 | 27 | 20 | 186 | 54 | 17 | 12 | 82 |
| Wisconsin | 6,960 | 1,129 | 3,666 | 11,755 | 2,038 | 125 | 377 | 2,539 | 792 | 75 | 218 | 1,085 |
| Wyoming |  | 98 |  | 98 |  | 11 |  | 11 |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| Total All States | 115,708 | 62,229 | 46,650 | 224,587 | 33,878 | 6,865 | 4,798 | 45,541 | 13,167 | 4,140 | 2,775 | 20,081 |

U.S. results were allocated to states in proportion to direct employment for juice manufacturing and retail food stores, and by sales for fruit and vegetable production. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\top}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.

Florida ( 25,164 jobs), Washington (6,617 jobs), Wisconsin (3,666 jobs), California (2,290 jobs), and Michigan (1,925 jobs).

Economic contribution results for the U.S. juice products industry in multi-state regions are summarized in Table 22 and Figures 15-16. These regional results are simply aggregated from the contribution estimates for individual states according to a mapping of states within regions as shown in Figure 14. The largest region for of the juice products industry was the Pacific,
with employment contributions of 60,368 jobs and output contributions of $\$ 14.01$ billion, followed by the Midwest (44,254 jobs, $\$ 9.86$ billion), Southeast ( 43,169 jobs, $\$ 6.35$ billion), Northeast ( 38,428 jobs, $\$ 7.54$ billion), Appalachian ( 13,481 jobs, $\$ 2.78$ billion), Southcentral ( 11,500 jobs, $\$ 2.14$ billion), Mountain (10,084 jobs, $\$ 2.24$ billion), and Great Plains ( 2,715 jobs, \$552 million).

Thousand Fulltime \& Part-time Jobs


Figure 12. Total employment contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. states, 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.


Figure 13. Total output contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. states, 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.

Table 22. Economic contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. regions, 2017

| Region | Employment (fulltime and part-time jobs) |  |  |  | Output (Million \$) |  |  |  | Value Added (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors | Juice manuf. | Retail | Fruit prod. | All sectors |
| Pacific | 40,707 | 9,834 | 9,827 | 60,368 | 11,919 | 1,085 | 1,011 | 14,014 | 4,632 | 654 | 585 | 5,871 |
| Midwest | 27,495 | 11,137 | 5,622 | 44,254 | 8,050 | 1,229 | 578 | 9,857 | 3,129 | 741 | 334 | 4,204 |
| Southeast | 9,736 | 8,269 | 25,164 | 43,169 | 2,851 | 912 | 2,588 | 6,351 | 1,108 | 550 | 1,497 | 3,155 |
| Northeast | 18,284 | 15,565 | 4,579 | 38,428 | 5,353 | 1,717 | 471 | 7,541 | 2,081 | 1,036 | 272 | 3,388 |
| Appalachian | 7,127 | 5,529 | 825 | 13,481 | 2,087 | 610 | 85 | 2,782 | 811 | 368 | 49 | 1,228 |
| Southcentral | 4,786 | 6,714 |  | 11,500 | 1,401 | 741 |  | 2,142 | 545 | 447 |  | 991 |
| Mountain | 6,189 | 3,848 | 46 | 10,084 | 1,812 | 425 | 5 | 2,241 | 704 | 256 | 3 | 963 |
| Great Plains | 1,383 | 1,332 |  | 2,715 | 405 | 147 |  | 552 | 157 | 89 |  | 246 |
| Total All Regions | 115,708 | 62,229 | 46,064 | 224,000 | 33,878 | 6,865 | 4,738 | 45,481 | 13,167 | 4,140 | 2,740 | 20,047 |

State results were aggregated to U.S. regions. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.


Figure 14. Map of U.S. regions for economic contribution analysis of the juice products industry


Figure 15. Total employment contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. regions, 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.

Billion Dollars


Figure 16. Total output contributions of the juice products industry in U.S. regions, 2017. Source: IMPLAN ${ }^{\ominus}$ tradeflows model for the U.S., 2017.


## ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION RESULTS BY CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

National economic contribution results for the juice products industry were also allocated to U.S. congressional districts. Allocation of economic contribution results for the fruit production industry was based on information on direct sales of fruit, tree nuts, and berries by congressional district from USDA-NASS and allocation of economic contribution results for the juice manufacturing and juice retailing industries was based on direct employment information for the manufacturing and retail sectors from the American Community Survey (2013-17, 5 year averages).

Results for economic contributions by congressional district are displayed in Figures 17-20. Complete results for total economic contributions by congressional district in terms of employment and value added are available in Appendix B.

In terms of fruit production, the top 10 congressional districts account for $42 \%$ of total employment contributions. Nine of these 10 congressional districts are located in Florida ( $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}, 9^{\text {th }}, 15^{\text {th }}, 16^{\text {th }}, 17^{\text {th }}, 18^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}$, and $26^{\text {th }}$ ) with the exception being the $4^{\text {th }}$ congressional district of Washington state.


Figure 17. Total employment contributions of the fruit production Industry by U.S. Congressional District, 2018

The distribution of total employment contributions for juice manufacturing is quite different, showing larger values in the Pacific Northwest and Northeast. The 10 top congressional districts in terms of total employment contributions associated with juice manufacturing are the 5 congressional districts that make up the State of Oregon, the 2 congressional districts that make up the State of Idaho, 2 congressional districts in Washington ( $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ ), and the $6^{\text {th }}$ congressional district in Wisconsin. These 10 districts account for $15 \%$ of the total employment contributions of juice manufacturing activity.

Juice retailing activity is present in all congressional districts and is largely dependent on the proportion of state-level retailing activity that is assumed to be juice-related. This dispersion of activity, as opposed to the geographic concentration associated with fruit production and juice manufacturing, reveals that no one congressional district is responsible for more than $0.51 \%$ of the total employment contributions associated with juice retailing. This distribution of activity is displayed in Figure 19.


Figure 18. Total employment contributions of the juice manufacturing Industry by U.S. Congressional
District, 2018


Figure 19. Total employment contributions of the juice retailing Industry by U.S. Congressional District, 2018

As displayed in Figure 20, the top 10 U.S. congressional districts in terms total employment contributions supported by the overall juice products industry (fruit production, juice manufacturing, and juice retailing) are located in Florida ( $2^{\text {nd }}, 15^{\text {th }}, 17^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}$ and $26^{\text {th }}$ districts), Idaho ( $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ ), Oregon ( $1^{\text {st }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ ), and Washington state $\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$. These congressional
districts alone represent $12.5 \%$ of total employment contributions by the juice products industry. Congressional districts with larger total economic contributions tend to specialize in fruit production or juice processing, as these activities tend to concentrate geographically more than juice retailing activity.


Figure 20. Total employment contributions of the juice products industry by U.S. Congressional
District, 2018



## DISCUSSION

Fruit and vegetable juice intake is an essential element in healthy eating, reducing risks for diet-related chronic diseases and leading towards good health. However, fruit and vegetable consumption by Americans has long been below the recommended level by the current dietary guidelines. Lack of convenience is one of the most important factors accounting for insufficient fresh fruit and vegetable intake (Pollack 2001). As a convenient substitute for fresh fruits and vegetables, juices have become a large component in the domestic market since the 1970s. In addition to offering equivalent nutritional value, fruit and vegetable juices also help to control servings in standard-sized containers and reduce food waste because of a longer shelf life than fresh produce.

Both per capita consumption of juices and domestic demand have declined in the last decade, although this trend has been moderated by the popularity of various juice-based drinks. This is due to growing competition with a myriad of other beverage products (e.g., sports and energy drinks), lifestyle changes, and concerns about sugar and calorie contents in juices (Pollack 2001). As a result, employment, revenue, and value added in juice manufacturing have declined over the last decade and are projected to continue the downward trend over the next five years. Consistent with the shrinkage of the domestic juice products industry, the gap between imports and exports in both volume and value have been increasing since 2010. Imports of other single fruit juices and other juices have shown a steady increase in recent years in response to growing domestic demand for a wider range of consumer preferences.

Orange and other citrus juices (e.g. grapefruit, lemon) are still the dominant flavors for retail sales of juice and smoothies in the refrigerated juice market category, while cranberry juice, apple juice, and tomato/vegetable juice continue to lead the shelf-stable juice category. A notable change in the industry is increased imports and decreased exports of orange juice due to a shortfall in domestic supply resulting from diseases and multiple natural disasters in Florida, and a downtrend in domestic demand due to a cluster of factors such as preferences for fresh produce, increased concerns about sugar and calorie content in orange juice, and competition with other beverage products. The decline in Florida citrus production has been caused by a combination of several factors, but the most important is the endemic infestation of HLB or citrus greening disease that has spread throughout the commercial citrus production area in Florida. The industry is pursuing a range of tactics to combat the
disease, including genetic research to develop disease resistant trees, as well as improved management practices. Several cultivars have been developed that are exhibiting tolerance to HLB. There is hope in the industry that the downward trend in fruit production can be reversed.

The analysis shows that economic contributions of juice processing/manufacturing outpace fruit and vegetable production and retailing activities combined, indicating that processing/manufacturing is the driver of value in the supply chain. Direct contributions account for the highest share of economic contributions in fruit production and retailing, however, indirect contributions represent a dominant share of manufacturing activity. Fruit production and juice retailing are the beginning and ending stages of the juice products industry supply chain, while juice manufacturing is the intermediate stage connecting the upstream and downstream actors.

The juice products industry is widely spread throughout the U.S. The geographic distribution of economic contributions across states and regions reflects the population of consumers for retail sales activity, the natural environmental factors for suitability of fruit and vegetable production, and availability of domestic produce or imported juice, as well as labor and capital for manufacturing.

Finally, another issue confronting all juice products is the shift in the climate around world trade. Soon after the end of World War II, several institutions arose that have served to promote free trade among former enemies across the globe. Tariffs that were imposed during the Great Depression were gradually reduced. Regional trading blocs such as the European Union and the North American Free Trade Agreement, along with the acceptance of China into the World Trade Organization (WTO), and bi-lateral trade agreements between the U.S. and several countries, notably South Korea, have stimulated world economic growth and increased incomes, which generally increase consumption of products such as fresh produce and fruit and vegetable juices. In the past few years, the trend toward freer trade among countries has shifted, not only in the U.S., but in many countries across the world. The rise of nationalism and fear of the possible negative impacts of immigration both work against economic growth and trade of goods and services. If the consequence of the new attitudes regarding trade is the renewal of high tariffs or outright embargoes on trade among countries, fruit and vegetable juice will be one of the victims with reduced availability of flavors that either cannot be produced domestically or produced at higher cost.
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## APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY OF ECONOMIC TERMS

Contribution (economic) represents the gross economic activity associated with an industry, event, or policy in an existing regional economy.

Employee compensation is comprised of wages, salaries, commissions, and benefits such as health and life insurance, retirement and other forms of cash or non-cash compensation.

Employment is a measure of the number of jobs involved, including fulltime, part-time, and seasonal positions. It is not a measure of fulltime equivalents (FTEs).

Exports are sales of goods to customers outside the region in which they are produced, which represents a net inflow of money to the region. This also applies to sales of services to customers visiting from other regions.

Final Demand represents sales to final consumers, including households, governments, and exports from the region.

Gross Regional Product (GRP) is a measure of total economic activity in a region, or total income generated by all goods and services. It represents the sum of total value added by all industries in that region and is equivalent to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) for the nation or Gross State Product (GSP) for states.

IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ is a computer-based input-output modeling system that enables users to create regional economic models and multipliers for any region consisting of one or more counties or states in the United States The current version of the IMPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$ software, IMPLAN Pro, accounts for commodity production and consumption for 536 industry sectors, 10 household income levels, taxes to local/state and federal governments, capital investment, imports and exports, transfer payments, and business inventories. Regional datasets for individual counties or states are purchased separately.

Impact or total impact is the change in total regional economic activity (e.g. output or employment) resulting from a change in final demand, direct industry output, or direct employment, estimated based on regional economic multipliers.

Imports are purchases of goods and services originating outside of the region of analysis.

Income is the money earned within the region from production and sales. Total income includes labor income such as wages, salaries, employee benefits and business proprietor income, plus other property income.

Tax on Production and Imports are taxes paid to governments by individuals or businesses for property, excise, and sales taxes, but do not include income taxes.

Input-Output (I-O) model and Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) is a representation of the transactions between industry sectors within a regional economy that captures what each sector purchases from every other sector to produce its output of goods or services. Using such a model, flows of economic activity associated with any change in spending may be traced backwards through the supply chain.

Local refers to goods and services that are sourced from within the region, which may be defined as a county, multicounty cluster, or state. Non-local refers to economic activity originating outside the region.

Margins represent the portion of the purchaser price accruing to the retailer, wholesaler, and producer/ manufacturer, in the supply chain. Typically, only the retail margins of many goods purchased by consumers accrue to the local region, as the wholesaler, shipper, and manufacturer often lie outside the local area.

Multipliers capture the total effects, both direct and secondary, in a given region, generally as a ratio of the total change in economic activity in the region relative to the direct change. Multipliers are derived from an input-output model of the regional economy. Multipliers may be expressed as ratios of sales, income, or employment, or as ratios of total income or employment changes relative to direct sales. Multipliers express the degree of interdependency between sectors in a region's economy and therefore vary considerably across regions and sectors. A sector-specific multiplier gives the total changes to the economy associated with a unit change in output or employment in a given sector (i.e. the direct economic effect) being evaluated. Indirect effects multipliers represent the changes in sales, income, or employment within the region in backward-linked industries supplying goods and services to businesses (e.g., increased sales in input supply firms resulting from more industry sales).
Induced effects multipliers represent the increased sales within the region from household spending of the income earned in the direct and supporting industries for housing, utilities, food, etc. An imputed multiplier is calculated as the ratio of the total impact divided by direct effect for any given measure (e.g. output, employment).

Other property income represents income received from investments, such as corporate dividends, royalties, property rentals, or interest on loans.

Output is the dollar value of a good or service produced or sold, and is equivalent to sales revenues plus changes in business inventories. Direct output is the value of sales revenues within the sector(s) evaluated.

Producer prices are the prices paid for goods at the factory or point of production. For manufactured goods, the purchaser price equals the producer price plus a retail margin, a wholesale margin, and a transportation margin. For services, the producer and purchaser prices are equivalent.

Proprietor income is income received by non-incorporated private business owners or self-employed individuals.

Purchaser prices are the prices paid by the final consumer of a good or service.

Region or Regional Economy is the geographic area and the economic activity it contains for which impacts are estimated. It may consist of an individual county, an aggregation of several counties, a state, or an aggregation of states. These aggregations are sometimes defined on the basis of worker commuting patterns.

Sector is an individual industry or group of industries that produce similar products or services, or have similar production processes. Sectors are classified according to the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS).

Value Added is a broad measure of income, representing the sum of employee compensation, proprietor income,
other property income, indirect business taxes and capital consumption (depreciation), that is comparable to Gross Domestic Product. Value added is a commonly used measure of the contribution an industry makes to a regional economy because it avoids double counting of intermediate sales.


APPENDIX B: ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTIONS BY CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Alabama | Alabama-1 | 0 | 52 | 98 | 150 | 0.00 | 5.96 | 6.50 | 12.47 |
|  | Alabama-2 | 0 | 55 | 94 | 149 | 0.00 | 6.23 | 6.25 | 12.48 |
|  | Alabama-3 | 0 | 76 | 90 | 166 | 0.00 | 8.64 | 5.99 | 14.63 |
|  | Alabama-4 | 0 | 81 | 88 | 169 | 0.00 | 9.19 | 5.85 | 15.03 |
|  | Alabama-5 | 0 | 70 | 100 | 170 | 0.00 | 7.94 | 6.66 | 14.60 |
|  | Alabama-6 | 0 | 45 | 97 | 141 | 0.00 | 5.07 | 6.45 | 11.52 |
|  | Alabama-7 | 0 | 53 | 82 | 134 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 5.44 | 11.44 |
| Alaska | Alaska-1 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 117 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Arizona | Arizona-1 | 0 | 38 | 104 | 142 | 0.00 | 4.32 | 6.92 | 11.25 |
|  | Arizona-2 | 0 | 38 | 121 | 159 | 0.00 | 4.32 | 8.08 | 12.40 |
|  | Arizona-3 | 0 | 38 | 133 | 170 | 0.00 | 4.30 | 8.83 | 13.13 |
|  | Arizona-4 | 0 | 31 | 118 | 149 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 7.83 | 11.40 |
|  | Arizona-5 | 0 | 73 | 142 | 216 | 0.00 | 8.35 | 9.48 | 17.83 |
|  | Arizona-6 | 0 | 43 | 142 | 186 | 0.00 | 4.95 | 9.46 | 14.41 |
|  | Arizona-7 | 0 | 52 | 129 | 181 | 0.00 | 5.91 | 8.56 | 14.47 |
|  | Arizona-8 | 0 | 41 | 153 | 194 | 0.00 | 4.66 | 10.19 | 14.85 |
|  | Arizona-9 | 0 | 61 | 155 | 216 | 0.00 | 6.90 | 10.34 | 17.23 |
| Arkansas | Arkansas-1 | 0 | 192 | 84 | 276 | 0.00 | 21.86 | 5.58 | 27.45 |
|  | Arkansas-2 | 0 | $112$ | $102$ | 214 | $0.00$ | $12.79$ | $6.76$ | $19.56$ |
|  | Arkansas-3 | 0 | 239 | 133 | 372 | 0.00 | $27.16$ | 8.85 | 36.01 |
|  | Arkansas-4 | 0 | 208 | 80 | 288 | 0.00 | 23.65 | 5.32 | 28.97 |
| California | California-1 | 84 | 228 | 130 | 443 | 5.00 | 26.00 | 8.66 | 39.66 |
|  | California-2 | 65 | 262 | 136 | 462 | 3.85 | 29.81 | 9.03 | 42.69 |
|  | California-3 | 155 | 303 | 130 | 588 | 9.22 | 34.50 | 8.62 | 52.34 |
|  | California-4 | 48 | 248 | 137 | 432 | 2.85 | 28.17 | 9.10 | 40.12 |
|  | California-5 | 88 | 448 | 145 | 681 | 5.21 | 50.94 | 9.68 | 65.82 |
|  | California-6 | 1 | 207 | 135 | 343 | 0.08 | 23.58 | 8.96 | 32.62 |
|  | California-7 | 4 | 261 | 135 | 400 | 0.25 | 29.65 | 8.96 | 38.86 |
|  | California-8 | 2 | 204 | 131 | 337 | 0.10 | 23.24 | 8.75 | 32.09 |
|  | California-9 | 116 | 330 | 133 | 579 | 6.89 | 37.50 | 8.86 | 53.25 |
|  | California-10 | 140 | 472 | 150 | 762 | 8.33 | 53.67 | 9.98 | 71.98 |
|  | California-11 | 0 | 286 | 137 | 424 | 0.03 | 32.53 | 9.15 | 41.71 |
|  | California-12 | 0 | 294 | 147 | 441 | 0.00 | 33.43 | 9.80 | 43.24 |
|  | California-13 | 0 | 315 | 124 | 439 | 0.00 | 35.79 | 8.27 | 44.06 |
|  | California-14 | 0 | 369 | 157 | 527 | 0.00 | 42.04 | 10.46 | 52.49 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
|  | California-15 | 3 | 587 | 137 | 727 | 0.16 | 66.84 | 9.13 | 76.13 |
|  | California-16 | 205 | 356 | 108 | 669 | 12.22 | 40.53 | 7.17 | 59.91 |
|  | California-17 | 0 | 1038 | 114 | 1152 | 0.01 | 118.07 | 7.57 | 125.65 |
|  | California-18 | 11 | 652 | 109 | 773 | 0.66 | 74.22 | 7.28 | 82.17 |
|  | California-19 | 2 | 744 | 149 | 895 | 0.13 | 84.63 | 9.93 | 94.68 |
|  | California-20 | 163 | 285 | 128 | 576 | 9.69 | 32.38 | 8.54 | 50.61 |
|  | California-21 | 508 | 213 | 94 | 816 | 30.23 | 24.26 | 6.27 | 60.76 |
|  | California-22 | 199 | 290 | 129 | 618 | 11.83 | 32.99 | 8.61 | 53.43 |
|  | California-23 | 194 | 234 | 122 | 551 | 11.55 | 26.66 | 8.12 | 46.33 |
|  | California-24 | 146 | 309 | 139 | 594 | 8.66 | 35.18 | 9.26 | 53.10 |
|  | California-25 | 1 | 423 | 130 | 554 | 0.07 | 48.09 | 8.68 | 56.84 |
|  | California-26 | 83 | 459 | 135 | 677 | 4.95 | 52.19 | 8.97 | 66.10 |
|  | California-27 | 0 | 339 | 121 | 460 | 0.00 | 38.53 | 8.06 | 46.59 |
|  | California-28 | 0 | 274 | 135 | 409 | 0.00 | 31.18 | 9.01 | 40.19 |
|  | California-29 | 0 | 438 | 147 | 586 | 0.00 | 49.86 | 9.80 | 59.66 |
|  | California-30 | 1 | 345 | 140 | 487 | 0.07 | 39.29 | 9.34 | 48.70 |
|  | California-31 | 0 | 357 | 148 | 505 | 0.00 | 40.66 | 9.84 | 50.50 |
|  | California-32 | 0 | 510 | 149 | 659 | 0.00 | 58.02 | 9.92 | 67.95 |
|  | California-33 | 0 | 371 | 105 | 476 | 0.02 | 42.24 | 6.97 | 49.22 |
| California | California-34 | 0 | 484 | 149 | 633 | 0.00 | 55.02 | 9.91 | 64.94 |
|  | California-35 | 0 | 483 | 153 | 636 | 0.00 | 54.95 | 10.21 | 65.16 |
|  | California-36 | 30 | 185 | 138 | 354 | 1.80 | 21.08 | 9.19 | 32.07 |
|  | California-37 | 0 | 270 | 126 | 397 | 0.00 | 30.77 | 8.39 | 39.16 |
|  | California-38 | 0 | 516 | 141 | 657 | 0.00 | 58.77 | 9.38 | 68.14 |
|  | California-39 | 0 | 546 | 143 | 689 | 0.02 | 62.10 | 9.53 | 71.65 |
|  | California-40 | 0 | 664 | 146 | 811 | 0.00 | 75.59 | 9.75 | 85.34 |
|  | California-41 | 1 | 411 | 158 | 570 | 0.08 | 46.78 | 10.48 | 57.34 |
|  | California-42 | 4 | 447 | 152 | 603 | 0.21 | 50.84 | 10.14 | 61.20 |
|  | California-43 | 0 | 442 | 134 | 576 | 0.00 | 50.30 | 8.89 | 59.19 |
|  | California-44 | 0 | 561 | 130 | 691 | 0.00 | 63.82 | 8.65 | 72.47 |
|  | California-45 | 2 | 584 | 136 | 723 | 0.14 | 66.47 | 9.06 | 75.67 |
|  | California-46 | 0 | 659 | 144 | 803 | 0.00 | 74.96 | 9.61 | 84.56 |
|  | California-47 | 0 | 522 | 130 | 652 | 0.01 | 59.41 | 8.65 | 68.07 |
|  | California-48 | 0 | 549 | 151 | 700 | 0.00 | 62.42 | 10.08 | 72.50 |
|  | California-49 | 3 | 488 | 132 | 623 | 0.17 | 55.52 | 8.80 | 64.48 |
|  | California-50 | 15 | 393 | 153 | 561 | 0.90 | 44.73 | 10.16 | 55.79 |
|  | California-51 | 11 | 257 | 139 | 408 | 0.68 | 29.29 | 9.28 | 39.25 |
|  | California-52 | 1 | 526 | 116 | 643 | 0.06 | 59.82 | 7.73 | 67.61 |
|  | California-53 | 1 | 332 | 148 | 481 | 0.05 | 37.80 | 9.83 | 47.67 |
| Colorado | Colorado-1 | 0 | 20 | 146 | 166 | 0.00 | 2.27 | 9.71 | 11.98 |
|  | Colorado-2 | 0 | 30 | 155 | 185 | 0.00 | 3.39 | 10.30 | 13.69 |
|  | Colorado-3 | 0 | 13 | 144 | 157 | 0.00 | 1.49 | 9.58 | 11.07 |
|  | Colorado-4 | 0 | 28 | 155 | 183 | 0.00 | 3.19 | 10.28 | 13.47 |
|  | Colorado-5 | 0 | 17 | 137 | 155 | 0.00 | 1.96 | 9.14 | 11.10 |
|  | Colorado-6 | 0 | 21 | 157 | 178 | 0.00 | 2.39 | 10.47 | 12.86 |
|  |  | 0 | 28 | 170 | 198 | 0.00 | 3.13 | 11.33 | 14.46 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Connecticut | Connecticut-1 | 3 | 79 | 174 | 255 | 0.17 | 8.95 | 11.55 | 20.67 |
|  | Connecticut-2 | 2 | 96 | 179 | 277 | 0.13 | 10.98 | 11.88 | 22.99 |
|  | Connecticut-3 | 2 | 84 | 175 | 261 | 0.10 | 9.59 | 11.67 | 21.35 |
|  | Connecticut-4 | 0 | 55 | 164 | 219 | 0.02 | 6.30 | 10.89 | 17.21 |
|  | Connecticut-5 | 1 | 92 | 186 | 280 | 0.08 | 10.50 | 12.39 | 22.98 |
| Delaware | Delaware-1 | 0 | 150 | 198 | 348 | 0.00 | 17.05 | 13.18 | 30.23 |
| District of Columbia | District of Columbia-1 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 319 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.24 | 21.24 |
| Florida | Florida-1 | 72 | 209 | 174 | 455 | 4.28 | 23.78 | 11.56 | 39.61 |
|  | Florida-2 | 112 | 194 | 138 | 444 | 6.65 | 22.10 | 9.16 | 37.91 |
|  | Florida-3 | 740 | 219 | 147 | 1106 | 43.99 | 24.97 | 9.80 | 78.76 |
|  | Florida-4 | 12 | 297 | 158 | 467 | 0.70 | 33.82 | 10.49 | 45.00 |
|  | Florida-5 | 0 | 186 | 158 | 343 | 0.00 | 21.13 | 10.48 | 31.62 |
|  | Florida-6 | 284 | 256 | 162 | 702 | 16.87 | 29.14 | 10.80 | 56.81 |
|  | Florida-7 | 0 | 254 | 162 | 416 | 0.00 | 28.94 | 10.77 | 39.71 |
|  | Florida-8 | 1764 | 364 | 152 | 2280 | 104.90 | 41.46 | 10.14 | 156.50 |
|  | Florida-9 | 1949 | 203 | 179 | 2330 | 115.90 | 23.09 | 11.89 | 150.88 |
|  | Florida-10 | 0 | 226 | 187 | 413 | 0.00 | 25.71 | 12.44 | 38.15 |
|  | Florida-11 | 388 | 169 | 146 | 703 | 23.06 | 19.27 | 9.72 | 52.05 |
|  | Florida-12 | 165 | 234 | 162 | 561 | 9.82 | 26.67 | 10.77 | 47.26 |
|  | Florida-13 | 0 | 315 | 161 | 477 | 0.01 | 35.90 | 10.72 | 46.63 |
|  | Florida-14 | 138 | 235 | 160 | 533 | 8.22 | 26.69 | 10.64 | 45.54 |
|  | Florida-15 | 3162 | 255 | 186 | 3602 | 188.04 | 29.03 | 12.35 | 229.43 |
|  | Florida-16 | 2180 | 254 | 165 | 2599 | 129.65 | 28.90 | 11.01 | 169.56 |
|  | Florida-17 | 7141 | 173 | 151 | 7465 | 424.73 | 19.68 | 10.07 | 454.47 |
|  | Florida-18 | 2367 | 232 | 162 | 2761 | 140.78 | 26.38 | 10.80 | 177.96 |
|  | Florida-19 | 218 | 147 | 176 | 541 | 12.96 | 16.71 | 11.73 | 41.40 |
|  | Florida-20 | 37 | 201 | 184 | 421 | 2.18 | 22.85 | 12.22 | 37.25 |
|  | Florida-21 | 0 | 177 | 176 | 353 | 0.00 | 20.18 | 11.69 | 31.87 |
|  | Florida-22 | 1 | 252 | 182 | 435 | 0.06 | 28.65 | 12.10 | 40.81 |
|  | Florida-23 | 11 | 220 | 183 | 414 | 0.64 | 25.09 | 12.18 | 37.90 |
|  | Florida-24 | 16 | 169 | 170 | 354 | 0.93 | 19.20 | 11.30 | 31.43 |
|  | Florida-25 | 3597 | 327 | 159 | 4083 | 213.97 | 37.25 | 10.56 | 261.78 |
|  | Florida-26 | 746 | 171 | 179 | 1096 | 44.35 | 19.45 | 11.94 | 75.74 |
|  | Florida-27 | 69 | 175 | 152 | 396 | 4.09 | 19.88 | 10.14 | 34.11 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Georgia | Georgia-1 | 0 | 123 | 126 | 249 | 0.00 | 14.01 | 8.37 | 22.38 |
|  | Georgia-2 | 0 | 122 | 105 | 228 | 0.00 | 13.91 | 7.01 | 20.92 |
|  | Georgia-3 | 0 | 185 | 118 | 303 | 0.00 | 21.02 | 7.85 | 28.87 |
|  | Georgia-4 | 0 | 114 | 155 | 269 | 0.00 | 13.00 | 10.29 | 23.29 |
|  | Georgia-5 | 0 | 81 | 127 | 209 | 0.00 | 9.26 | 8.47 | 17.74 |
|  | Georgia-6 | 0 | 110 | 128 | 238 | 0.00 | 12.49 | 8.51 | 21.00 |
|  | Georgia-7 | 0 | 144 | 163 | 307 | 0.00 | 16.43 | 10.84 | 27.27 |
|  | Georgia-8 | 0 | 124 | 123 | 247 | 0.00 | 14.06 | 8.20 | 22.25 |
|  | Georgia-9 | 0 | 215 | 138 | 353 | 0.00 | 24.43 | 9.19 | 33.62 |
|  | Georgia-10 | 0 | 148 | 136 | 283 | 0.00 | 16.81 | 9.02 | 25.84 |
|  | Georgia-11 | 0 | 144 | 159 | 304 | 0.00 | 16.41 | 10.61 | 27.02 |
|  | Georgia-12 | 0 | 132 | 118 | 250 | 0.00 | 15.06 | 7.85 | 22.91 |
|  | Georgia-13 | 0 | 103 | 133 | 236 | 0.00 | 11.71 | 8.85 | 20.56 |
|  | Georgia-14 | 0 | 284 | 125 | 409 | 0.00 | 32.30 | 8.33 | 40.63 |
| Hawaii | Hawaii-1 <br> Hawaii-2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 207 \\ & 141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \\ & 294 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.60 \\ & 16.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.91 \\ & 10.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.51 \\ & 26.22 \end{aligned}$ |
| Idaho | Idaho-1 <br> Idaho-2 | 0 | 2500 2435 | 143 140 | 2644 <br> 2575 | 0.00 0.00 | 284.49 277.07 | 9.55 9.29 | 294.04 286.36 |
| Illinois | Illinois-1 | 0 | 81 | 119 | 200 | 0.00 | 9.26 | 7.89 | 17.15 |
|  | Illinois-2 | 0 | 102 | 114 | 216 | 0.00 | 11.63 | 7.60 | 19.23 |
|  | Illinois-3 | 0 | 127 | 136 | 263 | 0.00 | 14.50 | 9.03 | 23.52 |
|  | Illinois-4 | 0 | 170 | 123 | 293 | 0.00 | 19.40 | 8.16 | 27.56 |
|  | Illinois-5 | 0 | 112 | 132 | 244 | 0.00 | 12.78 | 8.79 | 21.56 |
|  | Illinois-6 | 0 | 159 | 139 | 298 | 0.00 | 18.06 | 9.25 | 27.31 |
|  | Illinois-7 | 0 | 85 | 103 | 188 | 0.00 | 9.64 | 6.84 | 16.48 |
|  | Illinois-8 | 0 | 204 | 159 | 363 | 0.00 | 23.21 | 10.60 | 33.81 |
|  | Illinois-9 | 0 | 97 | 124 | 221 | 0.00 | 11.03 | 8.24 | 19.27 |
|  | Illinois-10 | 0 | 182 | 143 | 325 | 0.00 | 20.66 | 9.51 | 30.17 |
|  | Illinois-11 | 0 | 152 | 158 | 310 | 0.00 | 17.26 | 10.54 | 27.81 |
|  | Illinois-12 | 0 | 113 | 132 | 244 | 0.00 | 12.81 | 8.76 | 21.57 |
|  | Illinois-13 | 0 | 110 | 135 | 245 | 0.00 | 12.52 | 8.97 | 21.49 |
|  | Illinois-14 | 0 | 188 | 164 | 351 | 0.00 | 21.35 | 10.90 | 32.25 |
|  | Illinois-15 | 0 | 168 | 129 | 297 | 0.00 | 19.14 | 8.55 | 27.69 |
|  | Illinois-16 | 0 | 194 | 144 | 337 | 0.00 | 22.03 | 9.55 | 31.58 |
|  | Illinois-17 | 0 | 186 | 134 | 319 | 0.00 | 21.13 | 8.89 | 30.01 |
|  | Illinois-18 | 0 | 139 | 140 | 279 | 0.00 | 15.84 | 9.29 | 25.13 |
| Indiana | Indiana-1 | 0 | 172 | 96 | 268 | 0.00 | 19.55 | 6.40 | 25.95 |
|  | Indiana-2 | 0 | 296 | 94 | 390 | 0.00 | 33.67 | 6.23 | 39.90 |
|  | Indiana-3 | 0 | 302 | 104 | 406 | 0.00 | 34.36 | 6.93 | 41.28 |
|  | Indiana-4 | 0 | 231 | 107 | 338 | 0.00 | 26.33 | 7.13 | 33.45 |
|  | Indiana-5 | 0 | 160 | 107 | 268 | 0.00 | 18.25 | 7.15 | 25.40 |
|  | Indiana-6 | 0 | 242 | 99 | 341 | 0.00 | 27.51 | 6.57 | 34.09 |
|  | Indiana-7 | 0 | 124 | 121 | 245 | 0.00 | 14.12 | 8.06 | 22.18 |
|  | Indiana-8 | 0 | 215 | 99 | 314 | 0.00 | 24.44 | 6.58 | 31.01 |
|  | Indiana-9 | 0 | 192 | 111 | 303 | 0.00 | 21.90 | 7.37 | 29.28 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Iowa | lowa-1 | 0 | 177 | 218 | 395 | 0.00 | 20.13 | 14.51 | 34.64 |
|  | lowa-2 | 0 | 167 | 196 | 363 | 0.00 | 19.06 | 13.02 | 32.08 |
|  | lowa-3 | 0 | 103 | 223 | 326 | 0.00 | 11.76 | 14.84 | 26.61 |
|  | lowa-4 | 0 | 154 | 198 | 352 | 0.00 | 17.52 | 13.15 | 30.67 |
| Kansas | Kansas-1 | 0 | 119 | 134 | 253 | 0.00 | 13.54 | 8.91 | 22.45 |
|  | Kansas-2 | 0 | 105 | 144 | 249 | 0.00 | 11.91 | 9.59 | 21.50 |
|  | Kansas-3 | 0 | 97 | 156 | 254 | 0.00 | 11.07 | 10.40 | 21.47 |
|  | Kansas-4 | 0 | 166 | 140 | 306 | 0.00 | 18.86 | 9.33 | 28.19 |
| Kentucky | Kentucky-1 | 0 | 180 | 108 | 289 | 0.00 | 20.54 | 7.22 | 27.75 |
|  | Kentucky-2 | 0 | 208 | 126 | 335 | 0.00 | 23.72 | 8.39 | 32.11 |
|  | Kentucky-3 | 0 | 147 | 125 | 272 | 0.00 | 16.70 | 8.34 | 25.04 |
|  | Kentucky-4 | 0 | 169 | 126 | 295 | 0.00 | 19.25 | 8.38 | 27.62 |
|  | Kentucky-5 | 0 | 86 | 95 | 181 | 0.00 | 9.82 | 6.31 | 16.13 |
|  | Kentucky-6 | 0 | 173 | 135 | 308 | 0.00 | 19.74 | 8.97 | 28.71 |
| Louisiana | Louisiana-1 | 0 | 102 | 143 | 246 | 0.00 | 11.64 | 9.53 | 21.17 |
|  | Louisiana-2 | 0 | 97 | 131 | 229 | 0.00 | 11.09 | 8.73 | 19.81 |
|  | Louisiana-3 | 0 | 129 | 137 | 265 | 0.00 | 14.63 | 9.11 | 23.74 |
|  | Louisiana-4 | 0 | 98 | 115 | 214 | 0.00 | 11.18 | 7.68 | 18.86 |
|  | Louisiana-5 | 0 | 89 | 112 | 201 | 0.00 | 10.10 | 7.45 | 17.55 |
|  | Louisiana-6 | 0 | 157 | 137 | 295 | 0.00 | 17.91 | 9.15 | 27.06 |
| Maine | Maine-1 | 5 | 970 | 197 | 1172 | 0.32 | 110.35 | 13.13 | 123.80 |
|  | Maine-2 | 22 | 830 | 176 | 1028 | 1.31 | 94.42 | 11.74 | 107.48 |
| Maryland | Maryland-1 | 16 | 254 | 196 | 466 | 0.96 | 28.90 | 13.06 | 42.92 |
|  | Maryland-2 | 0 | 194 | 201 | 394 | 0.00 | 22.05 | 13.35 | 35.40 |
|  | Maryland-3 | 1 | 172 | 171 | 343 | 0.03 | 19.52 | 11.38 | 30.94 |
|  | Maryland-4 | 0 | 108 | 170 | 278 | 0.00 | 12.30 | 11.32 | 23.62 |
|  | Maryland-5 | 5 | 106 | 169 | 279 | 0.28 | 12.05 | 11.21 | 23.55 |
|  | Maryland-6 | 35 | 195 | 177 | 407 | 2.06 | 22.23 | 11.75 | 36.04 |
|  | Maryland-7 | 8 | 146 | 139 | 293 | 0.47 | 16.63 | 9.22 | 26.32 |
|  | Maryland-8 | 17 | 126 | 139 | 281 | 1.00 | 14.29 | 9.23 | 24.53 |
| Massachusetts | Massachusetts-1 | 115 | 179 | 229 | 523 | 6.85 | 20.35 | 15.24 | 42.44 |
|  | Massachusetts-2 | 200 | 195 | 234 | 629 | 11.89 | 22.22 | 15.55 | 49.66 |
|  | Massachusetts-3 | 92 | 268 | 227 | 587 | 5.50 | 30.48 | 15.07 | 51.04 |
|  | Massachusetts-4 | 62 | 170 | 231 | 464 | 3.71 | 19.38 | 15.36 | 38.45 |
|  | Massachusetts-5 | 39 | 150 | 204 | 393 | 2.32 | 17.06 | 13.60 | 32.98 |
|  | Massachusetts-6 | 55 | 183 | 232 | 470 | 3.24 | 20.87 | 15.41 | 39.53 |
|  | Massachusetts-7 | 0 | 108 | 201 | 309 | 0.00 | 12.27 | 13.37 | 25.65 |
|  | Massachusetts-8 | 68 | 114 | 227 | 409 | 4.04 | 13.02 | 15.10 | 32.16 |
|  | Massachusetts-9 | 724 | 123 | 251 | 1098 | 43.05 | 14.02 | 16.68 | 73.75 |



| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Michigan | Michigan-1 | 361 | 253 | 124 | 738 | 21.46 | 28.78 | 8.25 | 58.49 |
|  | Michigan-2 | 621 | 604 | 123 | 1348 | 36.92 | 68.76 | 8.21 | 113.88 |
|  | Michigan-3 | 221 | 489 | 124 | 833 | 13.12 | 55.59 | 8.25 | 76.96 |
|  | Michigan-4 | 12 | 363 | 113 | 489 | 0.74 | 41.34 | 7.52 | 49.60 |
|  | Michigan-5 | 4 | 327 | 121 | 453 | 0.26 | 37.22 | 8.06 | 45.54 |
|  | Michigan-6 | 646 | 542 | 112 | 1300 | 38.40 | 61.69 | 7.45 | 107.54 |
|  | Michigan-7 | 30 | 457 | 115 | 602 | 1.80 | 52.01 | 7.64 | 61.45 |
|  | Michigan-8 | 3 | 443 | 120 | 565 | 0.20 | 50.36 | 7.95 | 58.51 |
|  | Michigan-9 | 0 | 482 | 125 | 607 | 0.00 | 54.82 | 8.35 | 63.17 |
|  | Michigan-10 | 23 | 549 | 122 | 693 | 1.35 | 62.44 | 8.10 | 71.89 |
|  | Michigan-11 | 3 | 533 | 112 | 648 | 0.20 | 60.63 | 7.44 | 68.28 |
|  | Michigan-12 | 1 | 372 | 116 | 489 | 0.05 | 42.33 | 7.71 | 50.09 |
|  | Michigan-13 | 0 | 299 | 91 | 390 | 0.00 | 34.07 | 6.05 | 40.12 |
|  | Michigan-14 | 0 | 313 | 94 | 407 | 0.00 | 35.62 | 6.28 | 41.90 |
| Minnesota | Minnesota-1 | 0 | 565 | 136 | 701 | 0.00 | 64.28 | 9.05 | 73.33 |
|  | Minnesota-2 | 4 | 498 | 147 | 649 | 0.21 | 56.70 | 9.80 | 66.71 |
|  | Minnesota-3 | 0 | 559 | 155 | 715 | 0.00 | 63.66 | 10.33 | 73.99 |
|  | Minnesota-4 | 0 | 460 | 128 | 589 | 0.03 | 52.38 | 8.50 | 60.92 |
|  | Minnesota-5 | 0 | 399 | 144 | 542 | 0.00 | 45.36 | 9.57 | 54.93 |
|  | Minnesota-6 | 4 | 597 | 159 | 761 | 0.24 | 67.99 | 10.59 | 78.81 |
|  | Minnesota-7 | 2 | 517 | 132 | 650 | 0.10 | 58.86 | 8.75 | 67.71 |
|  | Minnesota-8 | 2 | 340 | 129 | 470 | 0.10 | 38.67 | 8.56 | 47.33 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Mississippi | Mississippi-1 | 0 | 49 | 107 | 156 | 0.00 | 5.63 | 7.09 | 12.71 |
|  | Mississippi-2 | 0 | 27 | 82 | 109 | 0.00 | 3.11 | 5.45 | 8.56 |
|  | Mississippi-3 | 0 | 29 | 93 | 122 | 0.00 | 3.25 | 6.21 | 9.47 |
|  | Mississippi-4 | 0 | 29 | 101 | 131 | 0.00 | 3.32 | 6.75 | 10.07 |
| Missouri | Missouri-1 | 0 | 84 | 109 | 193 | 0.00 | 9.50 | 7.28 | 16.78 |
|  | Missouri-2 | 0 | 104 | 125 | 229 | 0.00 | 11.84 | 8.30 | 20.14 |
|  | Missouri-3 | 0 | 125 | 138 | 263 | 0.00 | 14.22 | 9.15 | 23.37 |
|  | Missouri-4 | 0 | 93 | 123 | 216 | 0.00 | 10.59 | 8.17 | 18.75 |
|  | Missouri-5 | 0 | 89 | 128 | 217 | 0.00 | 10.15 | 8.51 | 18.66 |
|  | Missouri-6 | 0 | 118 | 123 | 241 | 0.00 | 13.41 | 8.19 | 21.60 |
|  | Missouri-7 | 0 | 103 | 138 | 241 | 0.00 | 11.75 | 9.18 | 20.93 |
|  | Missouri-8 | 0 | 106 | 108 | 215 | 0.00 | 12.08 | 7.21 | 19.29 |
| Montana | Montana-1 | 0 | 45 | 219 | 264 | 0.00 | 5.11 | 14.57 | 19.68 |
| Nebraska | Nebraska-1 | 0 | 153 | 139 | 291 | 0.00 | 17.36 | 9.24 | 26.59 |
|  | Nebraska-2 | 0 | 110 | 145 | 255 | 0.00 | 12.47 | 9.66 | 22.14 |
|  | Nebraska-3 | 0 | 147 | 137 | 284 | 0.00 | 16.74 | 9.14 | 25.87 |
| Nevada | Nevada-1 | 0 | 92 | 119 | 211 | 0.00 | 10.50 | 7.90 | 18.40 |
|  | Nevada-2 | 0 | 219 | 115 | 335 | 0.00 | 24.96 | 7.67 | 32.63 |
|  | Nevada-3 | 0 | 117 | 131 | 247 | 0.00 | 13.29 | 8.69 | 21.99 |
|  | Nevada-4 | 0 | 92 | 108 | 201 | 0.00 | 10.50 | 7.20 | 17.70 |
| New Hampshire | New <br> Hampshire-1 <br> New <br> Hampshire-2 | 0 0 | $\begin{gathered} 197 \\ 206 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 233 \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 431 \\ & 414 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.46 \\ & 23.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.52 \\ & 13.80 \end{aligned}$ | 37.98 37.27 |
| New Jersey | New Jersey-1 | 13 | 194 | 205 | 412 | 0.77 | 22.07 | 13.64 | 36.48 |
|  | New Jersey-2 | 302 | 167 | 177 | 646 | 17.97 | 19.00 | 11.76 | 48.73 |
|  | New Jersey-3 | 70 | 165 | 210 | 445 | 4.18 | 18.79 | 13.94 | 36.91 |
|  | New Jersey-4 | 12 | 158 | 182 | 352 | 0.71 | 18.00 | 12.12 | 30.83 |
|  | New Jersey-5 | 16 | 228 | 191 | 435 | 0.94 | 25.92 | 12.71 | 39.57 |
|  | New Jersey-6 | 0 | 221 | 191 | 412 | 0.00 | 25.14 | 12.74 | 37.88 |
|  | New Jersey-7 | 23 | 304 | 181 | 508 | 1.35 | 34.58 | 12.05 | 47.98 |
|  | New Jersey-8 | 0 | 243 | 201 | 444 | 0.00 | 27.62 | 13.36 | 40.98 |
|  | New Jersey-9 | 0 | 291 | 201 | 492 | 0.00 | 33.07 | 13.39 | 46.46 |
|  | New Jersey-10 | 0 | 150 | 172 | 322 | 0.00 | 17.05 | 11.44 | 28.50 |
|  | New Jersey-11 | 2 | 257 | 180 | 439 | 0.14 | 29.21 | 11.95 | 41.30 |
|  | New Jersey-12 | 5 | 249 | 167 | 421 | 0.29 | 28.31 | 11.11 | 39.71 |
| New Mexico | New Mexico-1 | 0 | 243 | 105 | 348 | 0.00 | 27.64 | 6.97 | 34.61 |
|  | New Mexico-2 | 0 | 186 | 92 | 278 | 0.00 | 21.18 | 6.11 | 27.28 |
|  |  | 0 | 182 | 99 | 281 | 0.00 | 20.71 | 6.56 | 27.27 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
|  | New York-1 | 60 | 159 | 183 | 402 | 3.60 | 18.09 | 12.16 | 33.85 |
|  | New York-2 | 0 | 226 | 191 | 416 | 0.00 | 25.69 | 12.68 | 38.37 |
|  | New York-3 | 0 | 136 | 156 | 292 | 0.00 | 15.51 | 10.35 | 25.86 |
|  | New York-4 | 0 | 115 | 164 | 279 | 0.00 | 13.10 | 10.90 | 24.00 |
|  | New York-5 | 0 | 88 | 174 | 262 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 11.55 | 21.56 |
|  | New York-6 | 0 | 112 | 156 | 267 | 0.00 | 12.72 | 10.35 | 23.07 |
|  | New York-7 | 0 | 130 | 157 | 287 | 0.00 | 14.80 | 10.46 | 25.26 |
|  | New York-8 | 0 | 76 | 148 | 224 | 0.00 | 8.62 | 9.84 | 18.46 |
|  | New York-9 | 0 | 62 | 134 | 196 | 0.00 | 7.08 | 8.88 | 15.97 |
|  | New York-10 | 0 | 99 | 127 | 226 | 0.00 | 11.22 | 8.45 | 19.68 |
|  | New York-11 | 0 | 74 | 144 | 218 | 0.00 | 8.47 | 9.55 | 18.02 |
|  | New York-12 | 0 | 101 | 136 | 236 | 0.00 | 11.46 | 9.03 | 20.48 |
|  | New York-13 | 0 | 86 | 178 | 264 | 0.00 | 9.83 | 11.83 | 21.66 |
| New York | New York-14 | 0 | 114 | 158 | 272 | 0.00 | 12.93 | 10.54 | 23.47 |
|  | New York-15 | 0 | 77 | 159 | 235 | 0.00 | 8.74 | 10.55 | 19.29 |
|  | New York-16 | 0 | 88 | 142 | 230 | 0.00 | 10.05 | 9.42 | 19.47 |
|  | New York-17 | 6 | 131 | 154 | 291 | 0.36 | 14.91 | 10.21 | 25.48 |
|  | New York-18 | 45 | 159 | 192 | 397 | 2.70 | 18.08 | 12.79 | 33.57 |
|  | New York-19 | 265 | 183 | 168 | 616 | 15.77 | 20.80 | 11.17 | 47.74 |
|  | New York-20 | 0 | 190 | 181 | 371 | 0.00 | 21.59 | 12.07 | 33.66 |
|  | New York-21 | 140 | 215 | 181 | 536 | 8.33 | 24.46 | 12.06 | 44.85 |
|  | New York-22 | 30 | 265 | 165 | 460 | 1.78 | 30.19 | 10.98 | 42.95 |
|  | New York-23 | 396 | 326 | 157 | 880 | 23.58 | 37.15 | 10.42 | 71.15 |
|  | New York-24 | 550 | 243 | 177 | 970 | 32.73 | 27.64 | 11.75 | 72.12 |
|  | New York-25 | 16 | 300 | 177 | 493 | 0.94 | 34.15 | 11.75 | 46.84 |
|  | New York-26 | 0 | 248 | 173 | 421 | 0.00 | 28.21 | 11.53 | 39.74 |
|  | New York-27 | 340 | 352 | 176 | 868 | 20.20 | 40.09 | 11.71 | 71.99 |
| North Carolina | North Carolina-1 | 0 | 188 | 118 | 306 | 0.00 | 21.39 | 7.87 | 29.25 |
|  | North Carolina-2 | 0 | 191 | 148 | 339 | 0.00 | 21.71 | 9.86 | 31.56 |
|  | North Carolina-3 | 0 | 125 | 128 | 253 | 0.00 | 14.17 | 8.52 | 22.70 |
|  | North Carolina-4 | 0 | 151 | 146 | 297 | 0.00 | 17.21 | 9.70 | 26.92 |
|  | North Carolina-5 | 0 | 205 | 139 | 344 | 0.00 | 23.35 | 9.24 | 32.60 |
|  | North Carolina-6 | 5 | 268 | 139 | 413 | 0.32 | 30.53 | 9.27 | 40.12 |
|  | North Carolina-7 | 86 | 158 | 149 | 393 | 5.11 | 18.01 | 9.92 | 33.04 |
|  | North Carolina-8 | 5 | 152 | 133 | 290 | 0.29 | 17.30 | 8.88 | 26.47 |
|  | North Carolina-9 | 17 | 186 | 136 | 340 | 1.04 | 21.16 | 9.07 | 31.27 |
|  | North Carolina-10 | 7 | 275 | 148 | 430 | 0.41 | 31.31 | 9.82 | 41.54 |
|  | North Carolina-11 | 39 | 211 | 129 | 380 | 2.35 | 24.05 | 8.60 | 35.00 |
|  | North Carolina-12 | 0 | 154 | 171 | 324 | 0.01 | 17.48 | 11.35 | 28.84 |
|  | North <br> Carolina-13 | 3 | 262 | 156 | 421 | 0.18 | 29.78 | 10.41 | 40.37 |
| North Dakota | North Dakota-1 | 0 | 488 | 146 | 633 | 0.00 | 55.48 | 9.70 | 65.19 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Ohio | Ohio-1 | 0 | 247 | 129 | 376 | 0.00 | 28.08 | 8.61 | 36.69 |
|  | Ohio-2 | 0 | 259 | 136 | 395 | 0.03 | 29.45 | 9.04 | 38.51 |
|  | Ohio-3 | 0 | 162 | 161 | 323 | 0.00 | 18.44 | 10.70 | 29.14 |
|  | Ohio-4 | 3 | 456 | 112 | 571 | 0.17 | 51.86 | 7.48 | 59.52 |
|  | Ohio-5 | 2 | 447 | 126 | 575 | 0.10 | 50.86 | 8.40 | 59.36 |
|  | Ohio-6 | 3 | 239 | 124 | 366 | 0.17 | 27.25 | 8.26 | 35.68 |
|  | Ohio-7 | 2 | 370 | 126 | 498 | 0.13 | 42.16 | 8.37 | 50.65 |
|  | Ohio-8 | 0 | 367 | 133 | 500 | 0.02 | 41.78 | 8.82 | 50.62 |
|  | Ohio-9 | 0 | 275 | 121 | 396 | 0.02 | 31.29 | 8.06 | 39.37 |
|  | Ohio-10 | 0 | 232 | 122 | 354 | 0.01 | 26.35 | 8.13 | 34.49 |
|  | Ohio-11 | 0 | 191 | 95 | 286 | 0.01 | 21.70 | 6.31 | 28.03 |
|  | Ohio-12 | 2 | 226 | 145 | 373 | 0.09 | 25.70 | 9.67 | 35.47 |
|  | Ohio-13 | 1 | 298 | 144 | 443 | 0.03 | 33.94 | 9.60 | 43.57 |
|  | Ohio-14 | 2 | 350 | 119 | 471 | 0.14 | 39.85 | 7.91 | 47.90 |
|  | Ohio-15 | 2 | 209 | 138 | 349 | 0.10 | 23.80 | 9.17 | 33.06 |
|  | Ohio-16 | 2 | 319 | 144 | 466 | 0.13 | 36.35 | 9.59 | 46.07 |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma-1 | 0 | 71 | 97 | 168 | 0.00 | 8.12 | 6.46 | 14.57 |
|  | Oklahoma-2 | 0 | 58 | 75 | 133 | 0.00 | 6.62 | 4.96 | 11.58 |
|  | Oklahoma-3 | 0 | 51 | 89 | 139 | 0.00 | 5.75 | 5.89 | 11.64 |
|  | Oklahoma-4 | 0 | 46 | 98 | 143 | 0.00 | 5.23 | 6.49 | 11.72 |
|  | Oklahoma-5 | 0 | 43 | 100 | 143 | 0.00 | 4.91 | 6.68 | 11.58 |
| Oregon | Oregon-1 | 162 | 2660 | 177 | 2999 | 9.66 | 302.66 | 11.79 | 324.11 |
|  | Oregon-2 | 386 | 1309 | 172 | 1867 | 22.95 | 148.96 | 11.44 | 183.35 |
|  | Oregon-3 | 24 | 1598 | 182 | 1804 | 1.44 | 181.80 | 12.13 | 195.36 |
|  | Oregon-4 | 118 | 1417 | 163 | 1698 | 7.04 | 161.20 | 10.82 | 179.06 |
|  | Oregon-5 | 230 | 1610 | 173 | 2012 | 13.66 | 183.16 | 11.53 | 208.34 |



| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania-1 | 17 | 165 | 139 | 321 | 1.00 | 18.76 | 9.28 | 29.04 |
|  | Pennsylvania-2 | 0 | 113 | 107 | 220 | 0.00 | 12.87 | 7.09 | 19.96 |
|  | Pennsylvania-3 | 0 | 377 | 154 | 530 | 0.00 | 42.84 | 10.22 | 53.07 |
|  | Pennsylvania-4 | 7 | 383 | 170 | 560 | 0.43 | 43.63 | 11.29 | 55.35 |
|  | Pennsylvania-5 | 2 | 377 | 140 | 519 | 0.12 | 42.86 | 9.34 | 52.33 |
|  | Pennsylvania-6 | 12 | 387 | 167 | 566 | 0.69 | 44.04 | 11.10 | 55.84 |
|  | Pennsylvania-7 | 19 | 289 | 151 | 459 | 1.12 | 32.94 | 10.03 | 44.09 |
|  | Pennsylvania-8 | 10 | 355 | 181 | 546 | 0.59 | 40.42 | 12.02 | 53.04 |
|  | Pennsylvania-9 | 36 | 295 | 163 | 494 | 2.16 | 33.52 | 10.84 | 46.52 |
|  | Pennsylvania-10 | 33 | 328 | 156 | 517 | 1.94 | 37.35 | 10.41 | 49.70 |
|  | Pennsylvania-11 | 53 | 309 | 177 | 539 | 3.15 | 35.17 | 11.76 | 50.08 |
|  | Pennsylvania-12 | 55 | 301 | 157 | 512 | 3.28 | 34.21 | 10.41 | 47.90 |
|  | Pennsylvania-13 | 409 | 251 | 158 | 817 | 24.30 | 28.54 | 10.50 | 63.34 |
|  | Pennsylvania-14 | 0 | 188 | 160 | 347 | 0.00 | 21.35 | 10.63 | 31.99 |
|  | Pennsylvania-15 | 17 | 384 | 170 | 571 | 1.00 | 43.75 | 11.32 | 56.07 |
|  | Pennsylvania-16 | 126 | 448 | 172 | 746 | 7.52 | 51.00 | 11.43 | 69.94 |
|  | Pennsylvania-17 | 0 | 331 | 173 | 504 | 0.00 | 37.69 | 11.52 | 49.21 |
|  | Pennsylvania-18 | 0 | 285 | 169 | 454 | 0.00 | 32.46 | 11.22 | 43.67 |
| Rhode Island | Rhode Island-1 <br> Rhode Island-2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118 \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.77 \\ & 1.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.88 \\ & 7.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.65 \\ & 9.74 \end{aligned}$ |
| South Carolina | South Carolina-1 | 0 | 102 | 143 | 245 | 0.00 | 11.63 | 9.49 | 21.13 |
|  | South Carolina-2 | 0 | 115 | 134 | 249 | 0.00 | 13.07 | 8.93 | 22.00 |
|  | South Carolina-3 | 0 | 209 | 114 | 323 | 0.00 | 23.79 | 7.61 | 31.40 |
|  | South Carolina-4 | 0 | 207 | 127 | 335 | 0.00 | 23.59 | 8.48 | 32.06 |
|  | South Carolina-5 | 0 | 180 | 125 | 305 | 0.00 | 20.48 | 8.34 | 28.82 |
|  | South Carolina-6 | 0 | 100 | 118 | 218 | 0.00 | 11.33 | 7.86 | 19.19 |
|  | South Carolina-7 | 0 | 113 | 148 | 261 | 0.00 | 12.83 | 9.86 | 22.70 |
| South Dakota | South Dakota-1 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 190 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.63 | 12.63 |
| Tennessee | Tennessee-1 | 0 | 228 | 123 | 352 | 0.00 | 25.99 | 8.19 | 34.18 |
|  | Tennessee-2 | 0 | 167 | 133 | 300 | 0.00 | 18.99 | 8.87 | 27.86 |
|  | Tennessee-3 | 0 | 226 | 114 | 340 | 0.00 | 25.75 | 7.56 | 33.31 |
|  | Tennessee-4 | 0 | 297 | 133 | 429 | 0.00 | 33.75 | 8.83 | 42.58 |
|  | Tennessee-5 | 0 | 149 | 130 | 279 | 0.00 | 16.95 | 8.68 | 25.63 |
|  | Tennessee-6 | 0 | 235 | 121 | 356 | 0.00 | 26.70 | 8.08 | 34.78 |
|  | Tennessee-7 | 0 | 205 | 112 | 317 | 0.00 | 23.29 | 7.45 | 30.74 |
|  | Tennessee-8 | 0 | 210 | 112 | 322 | 0.00 | 23.85 | 7.45 | 31.29 |
|  | Tennessee-9 | 0 | 129 | 109 | 239 | 0.00 | 14.72 | 7.27 | 21.99 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Texas | Texas-1 | 0 | 72 | 123 | 195 | 0.00 | 8.18 | 8.20 | 16.39 |
|  | Texas-2 | 0 | 97 | 125 | 222 | 0.00 | 11.06 | 8.33 | 19.39 |
|  | Texas-3 | 0 | 84 | 161 | 246 | 0.00 | 9.61 | 10.72 | 20.33 |
|  | Texas-4 | 0 | 89 | 132 | 221 | 0.00 | 10.09 | 8.78 | 18.88 |
|  | Texas-5 | 0 | 65 | 134 | 199 | 0.00 | 7.44 | 8.91 | 16.35 |
|  | Texas-6 | 0 | 95 | 142 | 238 | 0.00 | 10.82 | 9.48 | 20.30 |
|  | Texas-7 | 0 | 77 | 125 | 202 | 0.00 | 8.75 | 8.32 | 17.06 |
|  | Texas-8 | 0 | 76 | 134 | 210 | 0.00 | 8.66 | 8.91 | 17.57 |
|  | Texas-9 | 0 | 54 | 156 | 210 | 0.00 | 6.15 | 10.37 | 16.51 |
|  | Texas-10 | 0 | 89 | 150 | 240 | 0.00 | 10.17 | 9.99 | 20.16 |
|  | Texas-11 | 0 | 51 | 132 | 184 | 0.00 | 5.83 | 8.81 | 14.65 |
|  | Texas-12 | 0 | 90 | 142 | 232 | 0.00 | 10.25 | 9.46 | 19.71 |
|  | Texas-13 | 0 | 73 | 122 | 195 | 0.00 | 8.32 | 8.11 | 16.43 |
|  | Texas-14 | 0 | 88 | 106 | 195 | 0.00 | 10.02 | 7.08 | 17.10 |
|  | Texas-15 | 0 | 41 | 134 | 175 | 0.00 | 4.65 | 8.94 | 13.59 |
|  | Texas-16 | 0 | 45 | 116 | 161 | 0.00 | 5.11 | 7.73 | 12.85 |
|  | Texas-17 | 0 | 77 | 131 | 208 | 0.00 | 8.77 | 8.72 | 17.50 |
|  | Texas-18 | 0 | 69 | 123 | 193 | 0.00 | 7.90 | 8.20 | 16.09 |
|  | Texas-19 | 0 | 40 | 128 | 169 | 0.00 | 4.59 | 8.54 | 13.13 |
|  | Texas-20 | 0 | 44 | 143 | 187 | 0.00 | 5.05 | 9.50 | 14.55 |
|  | Texas-21 | 0 | 52 | 142 | 194 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 9.48 | 15.36 |
|  | Texas-22 | 0 | 91 | 137 | 227 | 0.00 | 10.31 | 9.10 | 19.41 |
|  | Texas-23 | 0 | 40 | 112 | 152 | 0.00 | 4.52 | 7.48 | 12.00 |
|  | Texas-24 | 0 | 79 | 164 | 243 | 0.00 | 9.02 | 10.88 | 19.90 |
|  | Texas-25 | 0 | 65 | 122 | 187 | 0.00 | 7.40 | 8.14 | 15.55 |
|  | Texas-26 | 0 | 78 | 168 | 245 | 0.00 | 8.84 | 11.16 | 20.00 |
|  | Texas-27 | 0 | 67 | 128 | 195 | 0.00 | 7.58 | 8.53 | 16.12 |
|  | Texas-28 | 0 | 26 | 123 | 149 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 8.18 | 11.19 |
|  | Texas-29 | 0 | 83 | 119 | 203 | 0.00 | 9.50 | 7.92 | 17.42 |
|  | Texas-30 | 0 | 62 | 126 | 188 | 0.00 | 7.06 | 8.40 | 15.47 |
|  | Texas-31 | 0 | 77 | 146 | 222 | 0.00 | 8.75 | 9.68 | 18.43 |
|  | Texas-32 | 0 | 76 | 144 | 220 | 0.00 | 8.68 | 9.59 | 18.27 |
|  | Texas-33 | 0 | 84 | 113 | 197 | 0.00 | 9.55 | 7.50 | 17.05 |
|  | Texas-34 | 0 | 32 | 111 | 144 | 0.00 | 3.68 | 7.40 | 11.08 |
|  | Texas-35 | 0 | 52 | 161 | 213 | 0.00 | 5.94 | 10.69 | 16.63 |
|  | Texas-36 | 0 | 99 | 108 | 207 | 0.00 | 11.28 | 7.17 | 18.45 |
| Utah | Utah-1 | 0 | 37 | 119 | 156 | 0.00 | 4.16 | 7.94 | 12.10 |
|  | Utah-2 | 0 | 25 | 130 | 155 | 0.00 | 2.85 | 8.64 | 11.49 |
|  | Utah-3 | 0 | 23 | 126 | 149 | 0.00 | 2.58 | 8.39 | 10.97 |
|  | Utah-4 | 0 | 32 | 138 | 170 | 0.00 | 3.68 | 9.19 | 12.87 |
| Vermont | Vermont-1 | 20 | 154 | 198 | 372 | 1.18 | 17.56 | 13.18 | 31.92 |


| State | State-District | Employment contributions (jobs) |  |  |  | Value added contributions (Million \$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries | Fruit production | Juice manufacturing | Juice Retailing | All industries |
| Virginia | Virginia-1 | 24 | 93 | 162 | 278 | 1.41 | 10.59 | 10.75 | 22.76 |
|  | Virginia-2 | 13 | 111 | 149 | 273 | 0.75 | 12.64 | 9.94 | 23.34 |
|  | Virginia-3 | 0 | 167 | 147 | 314 | 0.00 | 18.98 | 9.78 | 28.76 |
|  | Virginia-4 | 4 | 131 | 153 | 288 | 0.25 | 14.90 | 10.18 | 25.33 |
|  | Virginia-5 | 166 | 152 | 147 | 465 | 9.87 | 17.32 | 9.80 | 36.99 |
|  | Virginia-6 | 99 | 188 | 162 | 448 | 5.87 | 21.36 | 10.77 | 38.01 |
|  | Virginia-7 | 19 | 108 | 173 | 301 | 1.15 | 12.34 | 11.50 | 25.00 |
|  | Virginia-8 | 0 | 47 | 112 | 159 | 0.00 | 5.30 | 7.45 | 12.76 |
|  | Virginia-9 | 0 | 183 | 143 | 325 | 0.00 | 20.81 | 9.48 | 30.30 |
|  | Virginia-10 | 138 | 91 | 145 | 374 | 8.20 | 10.39 | 9.64 | 28.23 |
|  | Virginia-11 | 0 | 49 | 143 | 192 | 0.00 | 5.61 | 9.50 | 15.12 |
| Washington | Washington-1 | 229 | 1381 | 134 | 1744 | 13.65 | 157.14 | 8.91 | 179.70 |
|  | Washington-2 | 41 | 1465 | 147 | 1653 | 2.47 | 166.72 | 9.75 | 178.94 |
|  | Washington-3 | 176 | 1058 | 118 | 1352 | 10.48 | 120.36 | 7.86 | 138.70 |
|  | Washington-4 | 5175 | 760 | 106 | 6041 | 307.83 | 86.45 | 7.04 | 401.32 |
|  | Washington-5 | $410$ | 734 | 124 | 1269 | 24.41 | 83.57 | 8.27 | 116.25 |
|  | Washington-6 | 7 | 737 | 114 | 857 | 0.39 | 83.82 | 7.58 | 91.80 |
|  | Washington-7 | 0 | 902 | 179 | 1081 | 0.00 | 102.61 | 11.90 | 114.51 |
|  | Washington-8 | 578 | 1153 | 147 | 1878 | 34.37 | 131.16 | 9.80 | 175.33 |
|  | Washington-9 | 0 | 1082 | 142 | 1225 | 0.00 | 123.17 | 9.47 | 132.64 |
|  | Washington-10 | 0 | 726 | 131 | 856 | 0.00 | 82.57 | 8.70 | 91.27 |
| West Virginia | West Virginia-1 | 199 | 185 | 87 | 470 | 11.83 | 21.01 | 5.76 | 38.59 |
|  | West Virginia-2 | 0 | 173 | 85 | 258 | 0.00 | 19.70 | 5.63 | 25.34 |
|  | West Virginia-3 | 0 | 113 | 77 | 190 | 0.00 | 12.87 | 5.14 | 18.01 |
| Wisconsin | Wisconsin-1 | 63 | 906 | 144 | 1112 | 3.73 | 103.04 | 9.57 | 116.34 |
|  | Wisconsin-2 | 87 | 615 | 152 | 854 | 5.17 | 69.98 | 10.12 | 85.28 |
|  | Wisconsin-3 | 1583 | 774 | 163 | 2519 | 94.13 | 88.05 | 10.83 | 193.01 |
|  | Wisconsin-4 | 0 | 617 | 115 | 732 | 0.00 | 70.18 | 7.67 | 77.85 |
|  | Wisconsin-5 | 0 | 955 | 145 | 1099 | 0.00 | 108.62 | 9.62 | 118.24 |
|  | Wisconsin-6 | 103 | 1192 | 137 | 1433 | 6.13 | 135.68 | 9.14 | 150.95 |
|  | Wisconsin-7 | 1660 | 838 | 134 | 2633 | 98.75 | 95.40 | 8.91 | 203.06 |
|  | Wisconsin-8 | 171 | 1063 | 139 | 1374 | 10.15 | 121.02 | 9.27 | 140.44 |
| Wyoming | Wyoming-1 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.52 | 6.52 |
|  |  | 46017 | 115708 | 62229 | 223954 | 2737.08 | 13166.77 | 4139.94 | 20043.79 |
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